



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Suzanne Serino
DOCKET NO.: 07-25973.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-30-202-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Suzanne Serino, the appellant(s), by attorney Joanne Elliott, of Elliott & Associates, P.C. in Des Plaines; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$14,705
IMPR.: \$49,919
TOTAL: \$64,624

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property has 91,912 square feet of land, which is improved with a 43 year old, two story, frame and masonry dwelling containing 2,576 square feet of living area. The dwelling has two baths, a slab, air conditioning, and a two-car garage. The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted information on three comparable properties located about two or more miles away from the subject, and described as two story, frame, or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 29 to 40 years old, and in size from 2,624 to 2,738 square feet of living area. The dwellings have from two to two and one-half baths, from one to two fireplaces, and from a two-car to a three-car garage. All of the dwellings have air conditioning, and a basement area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$13.74 to \$14.46 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$49,256 was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and

assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of two story, frame, or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 35 to 131 years old, and contain from 2,311 to 3,768 square feet of living area. The comparables have from two to three and one-half baths, either one or two fireplaces, and from a two-car to a three-car garage. All of the dwellings contain a basement area, and one of the properties has air conditioning. Additionally, Comparables #1 and #4 were classified as having a "deluxe" condition, while the remaining comparables were classified as having an "average" condition. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19.30 to \$22.24 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$19.38 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Walsh v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998) (citing Kankakee Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 131 Ill. 2d 1, 22 (1989)); 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.63(e). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds that Comparable #1 submitted by the board of review, and all of the comparables submitted by the appellant were most similar to the subject in location, size, style, exterior construction, features, and age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$13.74 to \$21.47 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$19.38 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 22, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.