



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Keith Kanter
DOCKET NO.: 07-25539.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-14-129-030-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Keith Kanter, the appellant(s), by attorney Patrick J. Cullerton, of Thompson Coburn LLP in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 11,304
IMPR.: \$ 43,014
TOTAL: \$ 54,318

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property contains a 7,638 square foot parcel of land improved with a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry construction containing 1,608 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 49 years old. Features of the home include one and one half-baths, a partial basement with a recreation room, air conditioning, and a one and one-half car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted description and assessment information on four comparable properties described as multi-level, frame and masonry or masonry dwellings that range in age from 44 to 66 years old. The properties are located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparable dwellings range in size from 1,743 to 2,342 square feet of living area. All comparables have a partial basement with a recreation room and air conditioning. The properties contain one and one half-baths to two and one half-baths, three properties have a fireplace, and three properties have a one-car or two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$24.70 to

\$25.50 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$26.75 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$54,318 was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of multi-level frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 48 to 51 years old. These properties are located on the same block as the subject property. The dwellings range in size from 1,588 to 1,704 square feet of living area. The comparables have one and one half-baths to two and one half-baths, a partial basement with a formal recreation room, three comparables has air conditioning, and two properties contain either a one-car or one and one-half car garage. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$26.75 to \$27.80 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds the comparables submitted by the board of review are most similar to the subject in location, style, exterior construction, features and age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$26.75 to \$27.80 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$26.75 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 28, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.