



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Eugene Chez
DOCKET NO.: 07-25331.001-R-1 through 07-25331.003-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Eugene Chez, the appellant(s), by attorney Daniel R. Fusco, of Rock, Fusco & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
07-25331.001-R-1	10-15-405-011-0000	4,721	14,184	\$18,905
07-25331.002-R-1	10-15-405-012-0000	4,718	18,913	\$23,631
07-25331.003-R-1	10-15-405-013-0000	4,721	14,184	\$18,905

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property has one improvement spread over three parcels which total 11,802 square feet of land. The improvement is a 47 year old, one-story, masonry, single-family dwelling containing 2,603 square feet of living area. The subject includes two and one-half baths, air conditioning, a two-car garage, and a partial unfinished basement. The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptive and assessment information on three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. These properties are described as one-story, masonry or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings that range in age from 51 to 56 years old, and in size from 2,591 to 2,949 square feet of living area. Additionally, the suggested comparables have from two and one-half to three baths, and one fireplace. These suggested comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$16.01 to \$17.35 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The Cook County Board of Review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of

\$61,441 was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented descriptive and assessment information on four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. These properties are described as one-story, masonry, single-family dwellings that range in age from 49 to 53 years old, and in size from 2,349 to 2,466 square feet of living area. The suggested comparables have from one and one-half to two and one-half baths, air conditioning, and either a one and one-half-car or a two-car garage. Additionally, the dwellings have either a full unfinished basement, a partial unfinished basement, a partial basement with a formal recreation room, or a crawl, and three properties have a fireplace. These suggested comparables have improvement assessments of \$17.92 and \$18.81 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$18.16 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of this appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Walsh v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998) (citing Kankakee Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 131 Ill. 2d 1 (1989)); 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.63(e). To succeed in an appeal based on lack of uniformity, the appellant must submit documentation "showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property." Cook Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 403 Ill. App. 3d 139, 145 (1st Dist. 2010); 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.65(b). "[T]he critical consideration is not the number of allegedly similar properties, but whether they are in fact 'comparable' to the subject property." Cook Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 403 Ill. App. 3d at 145 (citing Du Page Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 284 Ill. App. 3d 649, 654-55 (2d Dist. 1996)). After an analysis of the assessment date, the Board finds that the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds that Comparables #1 and #3 submitted by the appellant, and Comparables #2 and #4 submitted by the board of review were most similar to the subject in location, size, style, exterior construction, features, and age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$17.11 to \$18.47 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$18.16 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the most similar comparables. Therefore, after considering adjustments and differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds that

Docket No: 07-25331.001-R-1 through 07-25331.003-R-1

the subject's improvement assessment is equitable, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 21, 2012



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.