



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Sherry & Karl Kulhanek
DOCKET NO.: 07-25293.001-R-1 through 07-25293.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sherry & Karl Kulhanek, the appellant(s), by attorney Lisa A. Marino, of Marino & Assoc., PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
07-25293.001-R-1	23-06-102-042-0000	23,138	0	\$23,138
07-25293.002-R-1	23-06-102-043-0000	59,663	46,761	\$87,331

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 82,801 square foot parcel of land improved with a seven year old, two-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwelling, with 4,376 square feet of living area, three and a half baths, air conditioning, a fireplace and a full unfinished basement. The appellant argued unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptions and assessment information on a total of three properties suggested as comparable and located within the subject's neighborhood. The properties are described as two-story, masonry or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings with one and a half to three and a half baths, air conditioning, one fireplace for two properties, and partial finished or unfinished basements. The properties are seven to sixty years old with 3,871 to 4,959 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments from \$8.55 to \$9.62 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment of \$46,761 or \$10.69 per square foot of living area was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on three properties suggested as comparable and located within close proximity to the subject with one property within a one block radius of the subject. The properties are described as two-story, masonry or frame and masonry single-family dwellings with three and a half to four baths, full finished or unfinished basements, air conditioning, and one to three fireplaces. The properties are four years old with 4,064 to 4,688 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments of \$11.45 to \$17.21 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The parties presented a total of six properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The PTAB finds the board of review's comparables most similar to the subject in size, design, construction and age. The properties are described as two-story, masonry or frame and masonry single-family dwellings with three and a half to four baths, full finished or unfinished basements, air conditioning, and one to three fireplaces. The properties are four years old with 4,064 to 4,688 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments of \$11.45 to \$17.21 per square foot of living area. In comparison, the subject's improvement assessment of \$10.69 per square foot of living area is below the range of these comparables. Therefore, after considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported and a reduction in the improvement assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 22, 2012



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.