



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: James Morici  
DOCKET NO.: 07-25270.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 13-05-400-021-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Morici, the appellant, by attorney Lisa A. Marino of Marino & Assoc., PC of Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:     \$ 7,200  
IMPR.:    \$ 30,083  
TOTAL:    \$ 37,283**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a one and one-half story dwelling of frame construction containing 1,748 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 83 years old. Features of the home include a full, unfinished basement, central air conditioning, and a two-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on three comparable properties described as frame or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 76 to 84 years old. According to the appellant, each comparable has a classification code of 2-03 under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classified Ordinance, One Story Residence, any age, 1,000 to 1,800 square feet. One of the appellant's comparables is located one block from the subject, and the others are five blocks away. The comparable dwellings range in size from 1,294 to 1,797 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, either finished or unfinished, and a garage. One dwelling has central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$13.20 to \$15.36 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$17.21 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of one and one-half story frame dwellings that range in age from 82 to 86 years old. One of the comparables is located one-quarter mile from the subject; and the other three are located in the same general area as the subject. The dwellings range in size from 1,584 to 1,748 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, either finished or unfinished. Three dwellings have a garage, and three have central air conditioning. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$18.44 to \$21.01 per square foot of living area. The board of review's evidence also indicated that comparable numbered two sold for \$440,000 in May 2004. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of seven equity comparables. Although the appellant did not indicate the number of stories for each comparable submitted, the appellant did indicate that the comparables had the same classification code as the subject property and photos depict one and one-half story dwellings. The comparable numbered two by the appellant differed significantly from the subject in size and exterior construction, and the comparable numbered three by the appellant and the comparable numbered four by the board of review were somewhat smaller than the subject. As a result, these comparables received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds the comparable numbered one by the appellant and the comparables numbered one through three by the board of review were most similar to the subject in age, size, style, exterior construction, and foundation. They were generally similar to the subject in location. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$13.20 to \$21.01 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$17.21 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the

Docket No: 07-25270.001-R-1

subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario M. Louie*

Member

*Shawn R. Lerbis*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 23, 2010

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.