FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Tony Parisi
DOCKET NO.: 07-25264.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-09-308-018-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tony Parisi, the appellant(s), by attorney Lisa A. Marino, of Marino & Assoc., PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***no change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$6,814
IMPR.:	$32,168
TOTAL:	$38,982

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 4,483 square foot parcel of land improved with an 82-year old, two-story, frame and masonry, multi-family dwelling containing 2,036 square feet of living area, two baths and a full, unfinished basement. The appellant argued unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant, via counsel, submitted information on a total of four properties suggested as comparable and located within one block of the subject with three on the subject's block. The properties are described as two-story, frame or frame and masonry, multi-family dwellings with two or three baths and a full basement with three finished. The properties range: in age from 82 to 103 years; in size from 2,016 to 3,690 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from $12.29 to $14.80 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment of $32,168 or $15.80 per square foot of living area was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on a total of four properties suggested as comparable and located within a quarter of a mile of the subject with one property located on the subject's block. The properties are described as two-story, frame and masonry, multi-family dwellings with two baths, a full basement with one finished, and, for one property, air conditioning. The properties range: in age from 51 to 86 years; in size from 1,991 to 2,208 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from $16.04 to $17.75 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

At hearing, the appellant's attorney reiterated the position that the subject is inequitably assessed and argued that appellant's suggested comparables #2, #3 and #4 are all located on the subject's block with #3 and #4 being the most similar. In addition, the attorney asserted that the board's suggested comparable #3 is assessed lower than the subject.

The board of review's representative argued that two of the board's suggested comparables were located on the same Sidwell block as the subject and that, although suggested comparable #3 is less than the subject, it is still within the range of all the comparables.

After reviewing the record and considering the testimony, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The parties submitted a total of eight properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The PTAB finds the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparables the most similar to the subject in design, construction, size, amenities, and age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the PTAB's analysis. The properties are frame and masonry, two-story, multi-family dwellings located within a quarter of a mile of the subject with two properties located on the subject's block. The properties range: in age from 82 to 86 years; in size from 1,991 to 2,208 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from

$14.64 to $17.75 per square foot of living area. In comparison, the subject's improvement assessment of $15.80 per square foot of living area is within the range of these comparables. The remaining comparables were given less weight due to disparities in size and/or construction. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 3, 2010

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board’s decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.