



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kyong Ewi Song
DOCKET NO.: 07-24081.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-11-427-036-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kyong Ewi Song, the appellant, by attorney Scott Longstreet, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 7,655
IMPR.: \$ 33,477
TOTAL: \$ 41,132

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a 44-year old, multi-level, frame and masonry, single-family dwelling. It contains 1,886 square feet of living area and is situated on an 8,321 square foot lot. Features include two and one half-baths, four bedrooms, central air conditioning, a partial basement with a formal recreation room, one fireplace, and an attached two-car garage.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptive and assessment data for eight suggested comparables. The properties are improved with a multi-level, frame and masonry, single-family dwelling, all of which are located in the subject's neighborhood. They range: in age from 42 to 45 years; in size from 1,730 to 2,032 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$17.10 to \$18.50 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$20.03 per square foot of living area. Amenities

for the suggested comparable properties include two and one half or two and two half-baths, a partial basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning for six properties, one fireplace for one property, and a one or two-car garage. Based upon this analysis, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment of \$37,776 was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted descriptive and assessment data, as well as black and white photographs, relating to four suggested comparables located within the subject's neighborhood. The properties are improved with a multi-level, frame and masonry, single-family dwelling. They range: in age from 36 to 50 years; in size from 1,662 to 1,964 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$20.33 to \$23.51 per square foot of living area. Amenities for the properties include two full to two and two half-baths, three or four bedrooms, a partial basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning, one fireplace for three properties, and a two-car garage.

At hearing, the appellant's attorney indicated that the appellant's comparables are similar in age, design, exterior construction and location to the subject. He also argued that three of the four board comparables are located in Glenview whereas the subject property is located in Niles, and proffered a map marked "Exhibit A" to show the location of the appellant's and board's comparables in relation to the subject property. The board of review rested on its evidence previously submitted.

After hearing the testimony and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has met this burden.

The parties submitted a total of 12 comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board finds that comparables #1 through #8 submitted by the appellant are most similar to the subject in location, age, and/or amenities. They contain between 1,730 and 2,032 square feet of living area. In analysis, the Board accorded the most weight to these comparables. These comparables ranged in improvement assessment from \$17.10 to \$18.50 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment at \$20.03 per square foot is above the range established by these comparables.

After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is not equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 28, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.