FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: James Rohner
DOCKET NO.: 07-23934.001-R-2
PARCEL NO.: 05-21-202-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Rohner, the appellant(s), by attorney Robert M. Sarnoff, of Sarnoff & Baccash in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***no change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$ 97,169
IMPR.:	$ 405,633
TOTAL:	$ 502,802

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of 29,625 square foot parcel of land improved with a 91-year old, two-story, frame, single-family dwelling containing 5,436 square feet of living area, four and one-half baths, four fireplaces, and a full, unfinished basement. The appellant argued, via counsel, unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant, via counsel, submitted information on a total of eight properties suggested as comparable with seven properties located within neighborhood 170 and one property located within neighborhood 171. The properties are described as two-story, frame and masonry, masonry, stucco or frame, single-family dwellings. Features include between three and one-half and six and one-half baths, between one and five fireplaces, air conditioning for seven properties, and partial or full basements with four finished. The properties range: in age from 1 to 98 years; in size from 5,040 to 5,593 square feet of living area; and have improvement assessments from $28.81 to $34.99 per square foot of living area. The assessor's website

printout for comparable #8 indicates the assessment is pro-rates with one or more parcels and that this assessment is a partial assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment of $405,633 or $74.62 per square foot of living area was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted descriptions and assessment information on four properties suggested as comparable and located within neighborhood 171 with two properties located on the subject's Sidwell block. The properties are described as a two-story, masonry, stucco or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings. Features include between three and two-half and six and two-half baths, two or three fireplaces, air conditioning for three properties, and full basements with one finished. The properties range: in age from 3 to 91 years; in size from 6,120 to 14,280 square feet of living area; and improvement assessments from $78.20 to $102.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The parties presented a total of 12 properties suggested as comparable. The PTAB finds the board of review's comparables #1, #2, and #3 most similar to the subject in location, age, and/or size. The properties range: in age from 85 to 91 years; in size from 6,120 to 7,081 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from $78.20 to $88.48 per square foot of living area. In comparison, the subject's improvement assessment of $74.62 per square foot of living area is below the range of these comparables. Therefore, after considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported and a reduction in the improvement assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: October 19, 2012

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board’s decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.