



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: David Contis
DOCKET NO.: 07-23472.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-29-416-055-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Contis, the appellant(s), by attorney James E. Doherty, of Thomas M. Tully & Associates in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 17,609
IMPR.: \$ 174,891
TOTAL: \$ 192,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 3,125 square foot parcel of land improved with a four-year old, three-story, masonry, single-family dwelling containing 3,777 square feet of living area, three and one-half baths, three fireplaces, air conditioning and a full, unfinished basement. The appellant argued, via counsel, unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant, via counsel, submitted information on a total of seven properties suggested as comparable. The properties are described as two or three-story, frame, masonry, or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings. Features include between two and one-half and four and one-half baths, one, two or three fireplaces, air conditioning, and a full basement with five finished. The properties range: in age from 4 to 13 years; in size from 3,071 to 3,796 square feet of living area; and have improvement assessments from \$32.39 to \$39.81 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment of \$174,891 or \$46.30 per square foot of living area was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted descriptions and assessment information on four properties suggested as comparable. The properties are described as three-story, masonry, single-family dwellings. Features include between two and one-half and four and one-half baths, air conditioning, two or three fireplaces, and full, finished basements. The properties range: in age from four to seven years; in size from 3,586 to 3,775 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$30.18 to \$56.71 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The parties presented a total of 11 properties suggested as comparable. The PTAB finds the board of review's comparables #1, #2 and #3 and the appellant's comparable #6 most similar to the subject in size, design, construction and age. The properties range: in age from four to seven years; in size from 3,756 to 3,780 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$38.80 to \$56.71 per square foot of living area. In comparison, the subject's improvement assessment of \$46.30 per square foot of living area is within the range of these comparables. Therefore, after considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported and a reduction in the improvement assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: October 19, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.