



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jeff Johnson  
DOCKET NO.: 07-23324.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 05-21-116-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jeff Johnson, the appellant, by attorney James E. Doherty, of Thomas M. Tully & Associates in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 48,965  
**IMPR.:** \$ 192,425  
**TOTAL:** \$ 241,390

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a 114-year old, two-story, frame, single-family dwelling. It contains 5,622 square feet of living area and is situated on a 16,769 square foot site. Features include three full and one half-baths, seven bedrooms, a full, finished basement, three fireplaces, and an attached two and one-half car garage.

The appellant appeared before the Property Tax Appeal Board and submitted evidence claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptive and assessment data for four suggested comparables. The properties are improved with a two-story, frame or stucco, single-family dwelling. They range: in age from 57 to 99 years; in size from 5,033 to 7,579 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$20.59 to \$30.88 per square foot of living area after correcting the appellant's calculations. The subject's improvement assessment is \$34.23 per square foot of living area. Amenities for the suggested comparable properties include three full and

one half to five full and one half-baths, central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces, a full or partial, unfinished basement, and multi-car garage area. Based upon this analysis, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment of \$192,425 was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted descriptive and assessment data, as well as black and white photographs, relating to three suggested comparables within the subject's neighborhood, one of which is located within a one-quarter mile radius of the subject. The properties are improved with a two-story, frame, single-family dwelling. They range: in age from 102 to 116 years; in size from 5,436 to 6,525 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$35.83 to \$36.31 per square foot of living area. Amenities for the properties include three and two half or four and two half-baths, five bedrooms, a full or partial, unfinished basement, central air conditioning for one property, three or five fireplaces, and a two or three-car garage. Based upon this evidence, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

At hearing, both parties rested on the evidence previously submitted. The board submitted a Google map (Board Hearing Exhibit "A") indicating the location of the parties' comparables in relation to the subject.

After hearing the testimony and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The parties submitted a total of seven suggested comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board finds that comparable #4 submitted by the appellant and comparables #1 and #3 submitted by the board of review are most similar to the subject in age, size, and/or location. They are all two-story, frame or stucco, single-family dwellings that contain between 5,228 and 6,117 square feet of living area. In analysis, the Board accorded the most weight to these comparables. These comparables ranged in improvement assessment from \$30.88 to \$36.31 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment at \$34.23 per square foot is within the range established by these comparables.

After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require a mathematical equality. A practical, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Donald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*J. R.*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 31, 2013

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.