



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: MAG 1 Ventures, LLC  
DOCKET NO.: 07-23113.001-C-1  
PARCEL NO.: 20-19-123-044-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are MAG 1 Ventures, LLC, the appellant(s), by attorney Dennis M. Nolan, of Dennis M. Nolan, P.C. in Bartlett; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$68,681  
**IMPR:** \$53,719  
**TOTAL:** \$122,400

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property consists of a 20,656 square foot parcel of land improved with a 82-year old, one-story, commercial building containing 8,880 square feet of building area. The appellant argued that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed value.

In support of this overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted limited market data on 2 suggested sale comparables. The properties range are 22 and 51 years old contain 24,810 to 26,000 square feet of building area. These sales occurred in April 2003 to April 2004 for \$725,000 and \$180,000 or \$29.22 and \$25.00 per square foot of building area, including land. The appellant submitted "CoStar Comp" printout for each suggested sale comparable. Based upon this analysis, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment was \$122,400 for the tax year 2007. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$322,104 or \$36.27 per square foot using the Cook County Ordinance Level of Assessment for Class 5a, commercial property of 38%.

In support of the subject's market value, raw sales data was submitted for eight retail/storefront properties. The data from the CoStar Comps service sheets reflect that the research was licensed to the assessor's office, but filed to indicate that there was any verification of the information or sources of data. The properties sold from August 2004 to February 2007, in an unadjusted range from \$81.82 to \$160.35 per square foot of building area. The properties contained buildings that ranged in size from 6,250 to 9,504 square feet and in age from 15 to 101 years. In addition, the board of review submitted a map identifying the location of the suggested sale comparables. As a result of its analysis, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After considering the arguments and reviewing the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

When overvaluation is claimed, the appellant has the burden of proving the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3d Dist. 2002; Winnbago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. 86 Ill. Admin. Code 1910.65(c). Having considered the evidence presented, the Board concludes that the evidence indicates a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the PTAB finds that the board of review's comparables #1, #4, and #5 are most similar to the subject in size, business usage, and location. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the PTAB's analysis. These comparables range from \$630,000 to \$1,270,000 or \$81.82 to \$160.35 per square foot of building area, including land. In comparison, the subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$36.27 per square foot of building area. The subject's market value of \$36.27 per square foot of building area is below the range established by the comparables. Therefore, after considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot assessment is supported and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Donald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*J. R.*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 22, 2013

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.