



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: James Manning
DOCKET NO.: 07-23056.001-C-1 through 07-23056.002-C-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Manning, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
07-23056.001-C-1	19-08-421-022-0000	10,390	39,010	\$49,400
07-23056.002-C-1	19-08-421-023-0000	10,390	39,010	\$49,400

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of two parcels of land totaling 6,250 square feet and improved with a 32-year old, one-story, masonry, retail building containing 5,250 square feet of building area. The appellant, via counsel, argued that the fair market value of the subject was not accurately reflected in its assessed value.

In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal authored by James Matthews of James Matthews, Inc. The report indicates Matthews is a State of Illinois certified general appraiser. The appraiser indicated the subject has an estimated market value of \$260,000 as of January 1, 2006. The appraisal report utilized the sales comparison approach to value to estimate the market value for the subject property. The appraisal found the subject's highest and best use to be the continued use.

Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser analyzed the sales of five properties located within the subject's market. The

comparables are two-story, masonry, retail buildings. The properties contain from 3,800 to 7,500 square feet of building area and sold from October 2001 to December 2004 for prices ranging from \$150,000 to \$390,000, or from \$35.71 to \$58.50 per square foot of building area, including land. The appraiser adjusted each of the comparables for pertinent factors. Based on the similarities and difference of the comparables when compared to the subject, the appraiser estimated a value for the subject of \$260,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$149,624 was disclosed. The subject's final assessment reflects a fair market value of \$393,747 when the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessments of 38% for Class 5A properties is applied. The board also submitted raw sales information on eight properties suggested as comparable. The properties sold from August 2001 to September 2006 for prices ranging from \$250,000 to \$575,000 or from \$61.90 to \$162.75 per square foot of building area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

At hearing the appellant testified that the subject property has no parking and this affects the value.

The board of review's representative argued that the sales in the appraisal are older than the unadjusted raw sales in the board of review's evidence and therefore, the appraisal is unreliable.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

When overvaluation is claimed the appellant has the burden of proving the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002); Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d 179 (2nd Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c). Having considered the evidence presented, the PTAB concludes that the evidence indicates a reduction is warranted.

In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the PTAB finds the best evidence to be the appellant's appraisal. The appellant's appraiser utilized the sales comparison approach to value in determining the subject's market value. The PTAB finds this appraisal to be persuasive for the appraiser: has experience in appraising; personally inspected the subject property and reviewed the property's history; and used similar properties in

the sales comparison approach while providing sufficient detail regarding each sale as well as adjustments that were necessary.

The PTAB gives little weight to the board of review's comparables as the information provided was unadjusted raw sales data. In addition, the PTAB finds the board's argument that the appellant's appraisal was unreliable unpersuasive. The PTAB finds the appraisal indicated that adjustments were made to all the sales comparables for time. . In addition, the board of review's evidence states "the writer has not verified the information or sources and does not warrant its accuracy."

Therefore, the PTAB finds that the subject property had a market value of \$260,000 for the 2007 assessment year. Since the market value of the subject has been established, the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessment of 38% for Class 5A will apply. In applying this level of assessment to the subject, the total assessed value is \$98,800 while the subject's current total assessed value is above this amount. Therefore, the PTAB finds that a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario M. Louie

Member

Shawn P. Lerski

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 3, 2010

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.