



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Edgar Pinto  
DOCKET NO.: 07-21412.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 14-08-311-040-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Edgar Pinto, the appellant, by attorney James A. DiChristofano, of Law Offices of James A. DiChristofano in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 14,744  
**IMPR.:** \$ 59,564  
**TOTAL:** \$ 74,308

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property consists of a 3,440 square foot land parcel with two improvements thereon. The first improvement consists of a 96-year old, two-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling with two apartments and 2,548 square feet of living area. The second improvement consists of a 96-year old, two-story, masonry building with two apartments therein as well as 1,472 square feet of living area as well as a full basement.

The appellant's attorney argued that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process of the first improvement as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted photographs, descriptive and assessment data on two grid sheets for seven suggested comparables. The properties were improved with a two-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling. They range in size from 2,272 to 3,185 square feet of living area and in improvement assessments from \$12.34 to \$13.60 per square foot. The initial four properties ranged in age from 93 to 108 years. The subject's improvement assessment for this building is \$17.72

per square foot of living area. Based upon this analysis, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment was \$74,308. This assessment was broken down per building as follows: the first building's improvement assessment is \$45,166 or \$17.72 per square foot, while the second building's improvement assessment is \$14,398 or \$9.78 per square foot. The board of review submitted descriptive and assessment data relating to three suggested comparables. The properties are improved with a two-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling. They range: in age from 105 to 113 years; in size from 2,472 to 2,536 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$17.83 to \$18.20 per square foot. Amenities include a full basement with an apartment therein, while two properties also contain a two-car garage. As a result of its analysis, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After considering the arguments as well as reviewing the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the data, the Board finds that the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds that comparables #6 and #7 submitted by the appellant and comparables #1 through #3 submitted by the board of review are most similar to the subject in style, exterior construction, and improvement size. In analysis, the Board accorded most weight to these comparables. These comparables ranged in improvement assessments from \$13.32 to \$18.20 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment at \$17.72 per square foot is within the range established by these comparables.

As a result of this analysis, the Board finds that the appellant has not adequately demonstrated that the subject was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and that a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*Shawn R. Lerbis*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 19, 2011

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.