



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Oscar Perretta
DOCKET NO.: 07-21275.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 31-07-405-102-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Oscar Perretta, the appellant(s), by attorney Arnold G. Siegel, of Siegel & Callahan, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 8,439
IMPR.: \$13,500
TOTAL: \$21,939

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject consists of a four year old, two-story, frame and masonry, townhouse style dwelling containing 2,197 square feet of living area. The subject contains a full unfinished basement, three baths, air conditioning, and a two-car garage. The subject is located in Rich Township, Cook County.

The subject property is an owner occupied residence that was the subject matter of an appeal before the Property Tax Appeal Board in 2005 under docket number 05-23652.001-R-1. In that appeal, the Property Tax Appeal Board rendered a decision lowering the assessment of the subject property to \$21,939 based on the evidence submitted by the parties. The following year, the appellant, via counsel, and the board of review agreed to a stipulation of the 2006 taxes in Property Tax Appeal Board docket number 06-31893.001-R-1. The stipulated amount was \$21,939, and this assessment was accepted by the Board. For the current 2007 appeal, the appellant submitted assessment information on seven comparable properties to demonstrate the subject was being inequitably assessed. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the final assessment of \$24,935 was disclosed.

The board of review submitted descriptions and assessment information on three comparable properties to demonstrate the subject was being assessed uniformly. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant waived the request for an oral hearing, and re-affirmed the evidence previously submitted.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. Pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code, the Board finds the prior year's decision should be carried forward to the subsequent year subject only to equalization. See 35 ILCS 200/16-185.

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel on which a residence occupied by the owner is situated, such reduced assessment, subject to equalization, shall remain in effect for the remainder of the general assessment period as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review.

Id. The record disclosed the Property Tax Appeal Board issued a decision reducing the subject's 2005 and 2006 assessments. The record further indicates that the subject property is an owner occupied dwelling. The Board takes judicial notice that 2005, 2006, and 2007 are all within the same general assessment period for Rich Township in Cook County, where the subject is located. The record contains no evidence indicating the subject property sold in an arm's length transaction subsequent to the Board's 2006 decision, or that the assessment year in question is in a different general assessment period. For these reasons the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted to reflect the Board's prior year's decision plus the application of an equalization factor, if any.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Ferr

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 28, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.