



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Michael Burns  
DOCKET NO.: 07-20492.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 15-35-202-032-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Burns, the appellant, by attorney Lisa A. Marino, of Marino & Assoc., PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 21,104  
**IMPR.:** \$ 55,896  
**TOTAL:** \$ 77,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a two-story, single-family dwelling of stucco construction containing 2,754 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 95 years old and is situated on a 25,125 square foot site. Features include two full baths, four bedrooms, a full unfinished basement, one fireplace, central air conditioning, and a three and one-half car garage.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptive and assessment information, as well as black and white photographs, relating to four suggested comparables, located within six blocks of the subject. The properties are improved with a two-story, frame, masonry or frame and masonry, single-family dwelling. They range: in age from 67 to 107 years; in size from 2,579 to 2,868 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$10.18 to \$12.99 per square foot of living area. Amenities for the properties include two and one half to two and two half-baths, a full or partial,

finished or unfinished basement, one or three fireplaces, and a two-car garage. The subject's improvement assessment is \$20.30 per square foot of living area. The appellant also noted in his pleadings that the subject sold in October 2004 for \$770,000. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment of \$55,896 was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted descriptive and assessment data, as well as black and white photographs, relating to four suggested comparables, located within the subject's neighborhood. The properties are improved with a two-story, stucco, single-family dwelling. They range: in age from 70 to 117 years; in size from 2,744 to 3,332 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$20.44 to \$25.47 per square foot of living area. Amenities for the properties include two and one half to three and one half-baths, four or six bedrooms, a full or partial, finished or unfinished basement, two or four fireplaces, and a two or two and one-half car garage. The board also noted the sale of the subject on its grid sheet in November 2004 for \$770,000, or \$279.59 per square foot, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board finds that comparables #1 through #4 submitted by the appellant, as well as comparables #1 and #3 submitted by the board of review, are most similar to the subject in location, age, and improvement size. They are all located in the subject's neighborhood and contain between 2,579 and 3,054 square feet of living area. These comparables range in age from 67 to 107 years. In analysis, the Board accorded the most weight to these comparables. These comparables ranged in improvement assessment from \$10.18 to \$21.09 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment at \$20.30 per square foot is within the range established by these comparables.

After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board

finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require a mathematical equality. A practical, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Donald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*J. R.*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 22, 2013

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.