



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: John Tilton  
DOCKET NO.: 07-20261.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 15-12-106-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Tilton, the appellant(s), by attorney Rusty A. Payton, of Law Offices of Rusty A. Payton, P.C. of Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$11,637  
IMPR.: \$63,089  
TOTAL: \$74,726**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of stucco construction containing 2743 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 92 years old. Features of the home include a partial, finished basement, a fireplace and a two and one-half-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on four comparable properties described as two-story frame or masonry dwellings that range in age from 85 to 126 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 2808 to 3424 square feet of living area. Features include full basements, one of which is finished, and fireplaces. Two have central air conditioning. The appellant did not include any data concerning garages for the comparables. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15.51 to \$22.77 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$25.04 per square foot

of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of two-story stucco or masonry dwellings that range in age from 78 to 96 years old. The dwellings range in size from 2440 to 3580 square feet of living area. Features include full or partial, finished basements, one to three fireplaces and two-car or two and one-half-car garages. Three have central air conditioning. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$25.00 to \$29.42 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has met this burden.

The Board finds the board of review's comparable #1 is the only comparable submitted by the parties that was of the same stucco exterior construction as the subject. The Board gives the other comparables less weight in its analysis. The board of review's comparable #1 is similar in most characteristics to the subject but is superior in that it has central air conditioning not enjoyed by the subject and it has two more fireplaces than the subject. It also has "other improvements," but those were not identified. The subject's \$25.04 per square foot improvement assessment is higher than the \$25.00 per square foot assessment of the board of review's comparable #1 even though comparable #1 had superior features. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is

Docket No: 07-20261.001-R-1

not equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Crit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fan*

Member

*Richard A. Huff*

Member

*Harold H. Lewis*

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 23, 2009

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.