



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ernest Di Monte  
DOCKET NO.: 07-20104.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 15-36-100-029-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ernest Di Monte, the appellant, by attorney Rusty Payton of the Law Offices of Rusty Payton, P.C., Chicago, Illinois; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 11,547  
**IMPR.:** \$ 49,818  
**TOTAL:** \$ 61,365

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a one and one-half-story masonry construction containing 2769 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 50 years old. Features of the home include central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on four comparable properties described as one-story or one and one-half-story stucco, masonry or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 42 to 104 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 2199 to 3288 square feet of living area. Two have central air conditioning, and three have fireplaces. The appellant did not indicate the size of any garages the comparables might have. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15.22 to \$15.96 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$17.99 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed.

The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of one and one-half-story masonry dwellings that range in age from 54 to 91 years old. The dwellings range in size from 1844 to 2114 square feet of living area. Features include one-car to four-car garages and full or partial basements, two of which are finished. Two have central air conditioning, and three have fireplaces. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$18.01 to \$24.35 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds the comparables submitted by both parties should be given reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The appellant's comparables differed from the subject in design or exterior construction or both. The board of review's comparables were much smaller than the subject and had basements not enjoyed by the subject. The Board does note the eight comparables submitted by the parties had improvement assessments that ranged from \$15.22 to \$24.35 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$17.99 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the comparables. Because the comparables submitted by the parties were insufficiently similar to the subject to justify a change in the current assessment, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 23, 2009

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.