



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mark Shear  
DOCKET NO.: 07-01296.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 14-33-476-025

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Shear, the appellant, by attorney Clyde B. Hendricks in Peoria, and the Peoria County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Peoria County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,330  
**IMPR.:** \$12,250  
**TOTAL:** \$14,580

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property consists of a one-story frame dwelling containing 1,183 square feet of living area that was built in 1920. Features include a full basement of which 520 square feet is finished as a recreation area and a detached one-car garage.

The appellant presented no objection to a decision in this matter being rendered on the evidence submitted in the record. Therefore, the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board contained herein shall be based upon the evidence contained in and made a part of this record.

The appellant submitted two sets of evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted six comparable sales. Their proximity in relation to the subject was not disclosed. The comparables consist of "bungalow" frame dwellings that were built from 1910 to 1953 and range in size from 926 to 1,293 square feet of living area. Features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The

comparables sold from May 2006 to August 2007 for prices ranging from \$14,500 to \$38,000 or from \$11.21 to \$37.84 per square of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant made two separate requests for reductions in the subject's assessment of \$10,000 and \$10,400, respectively.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's assessment of \$14,580 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$43,889 or \$37.10 per square foot of living area including land using Peoria County's 2007 three-year median level of assessments of 33.22%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted an analysis of three comparable sales and a map detailing their location, but failing to indicate the location of the subject. All of the comparables were in the same neighborhood code as the subject as determined by the local assessor. The comparables consist of one-story frame dwellings that were built from 1920 to 1929 and range in size from 976 to 1,226 square feet of living area. Features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables sold between November 2006 and November 2007 for prices ranging from \$27,000 to \$67,900 or from \$27.66 to \$55.38 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant submitted Multiple Listing Sheets for the comparable sales submitted by the board of review. The appellant argued the comparables have updated features when compared to the subject. The appellant also argued the comparables had lower listing prices until updates were performed resulting in higher sales prices as reported by the board of review. The appellant also argued it is unfair to compare owner occupied dwellings to a rental dwelling like the subject.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant argued the subject property is overvalued. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the value must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill. App. 3d 179, 183, 728 N.E.2d 1256 (2<sup>nd</sup> Dist. 2000). After an analysis of the evidence, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

The record contains nine suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Property Tax Appeal Board gave less weight to appellant's comparable #3 on Seneca due to its age. The Board finds the remaining comparables submitted by both parties were more similar to the subject in location, design, age, size, and/or features. They sold for prices ranging from

\$14,500 to \$53,457 or from \$11.21 to \$48.55 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$43,889 or \$37.10 per square foot of living area including land. After considering adjustments to the comparables for any differences when compared to the subject, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Guit*

Chairman

Member

*Mario M. Louie*

Member

Member

*William R. Loras*

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 24, 2010

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.