



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jerry Meier
DOCKET NO.: 07-01150.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-32-453-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jerry Meier, the appellant, by attorney Clyde B. Hendricks in Peoria, and the Peoria County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Peoria County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,410
IMPR.: \$11,850
TOTAL: \$14,260

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 97 year-old, two-story frame, old style dwelling that contains 1,296 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement.

In writing, the appellant's counsel presented no objection to a decision in this matter being rendered on the evidence submitted in the record. Therefore, the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board contained herein shall be based upon the evidence contained in and made a part of this record.

The appellant through counsel submitted evidence to the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis of three comparable sales with applicable Multiple Listing Service sheets. Two comparables were located in the same neighborhood code assigned by the assessor as the subject. The comparables consist of one and one-half-story frame bungalow or old style dwellings that were built between 1880 and 1924. The

dwellings range in size from 1,128 to 1,546 square feet of living area. Each comparable has an unfinished basement and two comparables have a one-car garage. These properties sold between November 2006 and February 2007 for prices ranging from \$18,500 to \$23,000 or from \$11.96 to \$20.39 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$10,740 or a market value of approximately \$32,220.

The board of review submitted its Board of Review Notes on Appeal wherein the subject's total assessment of \$14,260 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$42,926 or \$33.12 per square foot of living area, land included, using the 2007 three-year median level of assessments for Peoria County of 33.22%.

In support of the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment, the board of review submitted a grid analysis of three comparable properties along with property record cards. One of the comparables was located in the same assessor's assigned neighborhood code as the subject; a map also depicted the locations of the comparables and the subject. The comparables consist of a one-story and two, two-story frame, bungalow or old style dwellings that were built between 1900 and 1920. The dwellings range in size from 964 to 1,338 square feet of living area. Each comparable has an unfinished basement; two comparables have garages that contain 400 or 851 square feet of building area each. These properties sold between February and September 2007 for prices ranging from \$36,000 to \$56,500 or from \$26.91 to \$58.61 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment be confirmed.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued the board of review's comparables have features not enjoyed by the subject such as a garage and/or other updates based on applicable Multiple Listing Service sheets. In addition, appellant contended that board of review comparable #2 was in the East Bluff whereas the subject was in the West Bluff.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds no reduction in the subject property's assessment is warranted.

The appellant contends the assessment of the subject property is excessive and not reflective of its market value. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002). The Board finds the evidence in the record does not support a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The Board finds the parties submitted six comparables for its consideration. The Board gave less weight to the board of review comparable #3 due to its differing design, size and 851 square foot garage as compared to the subject. The Board finds the appellant's comparables and board of review's comparables #1 and #2 were most similar to the subject in location, size, design, exterior construction, and/or age and sold for prices ranging from \$11.96 to \$42.44 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment of \$42,926 or \$33.12 per square foot of living area falls within this range and appears to be supported by the most similar comparable, board of review #1 which was identical in design, exterior construction, size and most features, except that it was superior in having a 400 square foot garage; this comparable sold in early 2007 for \$42.44 per square foot of living area, land included. After considering the most comparable sales on this record along with adjustments and differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate the subject property's assessment to be excessive in relation to its market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted on this record.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

Shawn R. Lerbis

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 20, 2010

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.