



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Warren Danz  
DOCKET NO.: 07-01034.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 14-33-402-014

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Warren Danz, the appellant, by attorney Clyde B. Hendricks in Peoria, and the Peoria County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Peoria County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:     \$ 1,930**  
**IMPR.:    \$ 11,490**  
**TOTAL:    \$ 13,420**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a one and one-half story dwelling of frame construction containing 1,456 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1904. Features of the home include a basement and a garage.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of the claim the appellant submitted information on three comparable properties. They are located in areas with different neighborhood numbers than the subject, and the appellant did not indicate how close their locations are to the subject. They consist of one and one-half story frame dwellings that were built in 1910 or 1925 and contain 1,293 to 2,046 square feet of living area. All have basements, two have garages, and one has central air conditioning. The comparables sold from December 2006 to August 2007 for \$14,500 or \$17,000 or \$8.30 to \$11.21 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's assessment of \$13,420 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$40,397 or \$27.75 per square foot of living area

including land using Peoria County's 2007 three-year median level of assessments of 33.22%.

In support of the subject's assessment the board of review presented descriptions and sale price information on three comparable properties. They are located in an area with the same neighborhood number as the subject, and a map filed in evidence by the board of review indicates they are located within a radius of about four blocks from the subject. They consist of one-story frame or brick dwellings. They were built from 1904 to 1924. The dwellings have 928 to 1,264 square feet of living area. All have basements and garages, and one has central air conditioning. The board of review's comparables sold from May 2005 to April 2006 for prices ranging from \$46,500 to \$50,000 or from \$37.74 to \$51.72 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal the appellant submitted listing sheets that related to prior sales of the board of review's comparables or that highlighted amenities of a board of review's comparable superior to the subject. The appellant also argued that it is unfair to compare owner-occupied homes with rental properties such as the subject.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant argued the subject is overvalued. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the value must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill. App. 3d 179, 183, 728 N.E.2d 1256 (2<sup>nd</sup> Dist. 2000). After an analysis of the evidence, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The record contains six suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparable #3 should be given reduced weight because they have living areas much larger and much smaller than the subject, respectively. The Board finds the appellant's remaining two comparables should be given less weight because it is not clear where they are located with respect to the subject. The remaining two comparables, the board of review's comparables #1 and #2, had selling prices of \$46,500 and \$50,000 or \$37.74 and \$39.56 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's estimated market value is much lower at \$40,397 or \$27.75 per square foot of living area including land. After considering the evidence the Board finds the appellant has not proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject is overvalued and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Guit*

Chairman

Member

*Mario M. Louie*

Member

Member

*William R. Lerbis*

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 24, 2010

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.