



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mohammad Babul
DOCKET NO.: 06-31581.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-32-226-032-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mohammad Babul, the appellant, by attorney Timothy C. Jacobs, of Gary H. Smith PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$20,874
IMPR: \$79,252
TOTAL: \$100,126**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a 2-story multi-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 2,160 square feet of living area in 2 apartments. The dwelling is 135 years old. Features of the home include a full, unfinished basement and a 2-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on nine comparable properties described as class 2-11 masonry dwellings that range in age from 118 to 128 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 2,164 to 2,550 square feet of living area. Seven comparables feature full basements, of which two are finished. One comparable is on a crawl foundation and one is on a slab foundation. Two of the comparables have central air conditioning, and seven have 1½ or 2-car garages. The appellant did not include any data concerning number of stories or number of apartments for the comparables. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$24.25 to \$29.70 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$36.69 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented description and assessment information on one comparable property consisting of a 2-story masonry multi-family dwelling 123 years old containing 2,225 square feet of living area in 2 apartments. The comparable has a full, unfinished basement and a 2-car garage. This property has an improvement assessment of \$41.20 per square foot of living area. The board of review also disclosed that the subject was purchased in June 2004 for \$1,012,500, and the comparable was purchased in March of 2005 for \$1,125,000. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The comparables submitted by both parties were class 2-11 masonry multi-family dwellings similar in size and age to the subject, and located close to the subject. The Board finds comparables #3, #4, and #5 submitted by the appellant and comparable #1 submitted by the board of review were most similar to the subject in features. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$28.35 to \$41.20 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$36.69 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted. Furthermore, the Board finds the best evidence of market value is the sale of the subject. The Board finds that the subject's assessment of \$100,126 reflects a market value of \$989,387 when applying the 2006 three year median level of assessments for Cook County Real Property Classification Ordinance class 2 property of 10.12% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue, which is less than the value reflected by the sales price.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

Frank J. Huff

Member

Member

Mario M. Louie

Shawn R. Lerski

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 3, 2010

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.