



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Regina Wildner
DOCKET NO.: 06-31522.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 07-34-212-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Regina Wildner, the appellant, by attorney Lisa A. Marino of Marino & Assoc., PC of Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$ 5,600
IMPR.: \$ 24,783
TOTAL: \$ 30,383**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a one-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 1,536 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 41 years old. Features of the home include a full, unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on four comparable properties described as one-story frame, masonry, or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 35 to 55 years old. According to the appellant, the comparables are located one to five blocks from the subject. The dwellings range in size from 1,661 to 1,788 square feet of living area. Three have unfinished basements, either full or partial, and one has a crawl-space foundation. Three dwellings have garages, and one has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$12.96 to \$14.24 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$16.13 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on three comparable properties. However, one of the properties listed by the board of review as a comparable is actually the subject property. The other two comparable properties are one-story masonry dwellings that are 35 and 38 years old. Based on their parcel index numbers, they are not located close to the subject property, but they do have the same neighborhood code as the subject. The dwellings contain 1,423 and 1,458 square feet of living area. Each has an unfinished basement, either full or partial, a fireplace, and a garage. One has central air conditioning. These properties have improvement assessments of \$17.24 and \$17.60 per square foot of living area. The board of review's evidence indicated that the subject property sold for \$352,000 in July 2005. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of six equity comparables. The appellant's comparables numbered two and four differed from the subject in exterior construction; comparables one and four were much larger; and comparable three was older than the subject. As a result, the appellant's comparables received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The comparables numbered one and three by the board of review were not located near the subject but they did have the same neighborhood code assigned to the subject. Moreover, the Board finds the comparables numbered one and three by the board of review were most similar to the subject in size. They were also very similar in age, style, exterior construction, and foundation. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments of 17.24 and \$17.60 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$16.13 per square foot of living area falls below these amounts. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

Shawn R. Lerbis

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 23, 2010

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.