FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Brayton Gray
DOCKET NO.: 06-31032.001-R-1 through 06-31032.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Brayton Gray, the appellant(s), by attorney Jason T. Shilson, of O'Keefe Lyons & Hynes, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **a reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
06-31032.001-R-1	14-33-124-014-0000	19,991	72,558	$92,549
06-31032.002-R-1	14-33-124-015-0000	18,891	0	$18,891

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consist of two parcels of land totaling 4,876 square feet and improved with a one and half-story dwelling of frame construction containing 1,927 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 122 years old. Features of the home include a full, finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on four comparable properties described as two-story frame, masonry or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 59 to 118 years old. The comparables are located within .68 of a mile from the subject. The comparable dwellings range in size from 1,986 to 2,600 square feet of living area. Feature include a full basement, air conditioning for one property and for two properties a one and half-car or two-car garage. The lots ranged in size from 2,952 to 6,000 square feet and in land assessments from $4.01 to $8.27 per square feet. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $31.91 to $39.44 per square foot of living area and land assessment of $4.01 to $8.27 per square foot. The subject's improvement assessment is $46.99 per square foot of living area and the land assessment is $8.20 per

square foot. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review submitted the property characteristic printout for the subject the sale of the subject in September, 2005 for $1,246,051. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has met this burden.

The Board finds the comparables submitted by the appellant were most similar to the subject in location, size, style, exterior construction, features and age. Due to their similarities to the subject these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from $31.91 to $39.44 per square foot of living area and land assessment from $4.01 to $8.27 per square feet. The subject's improvement assessment of $46.99 per square foot of living area is above the range established by the most similar comparables. The subject land assessment of $8.20 is within the range established by the most similar comparables. The Board gives little weight to the board of review's evidence as it does not contain any assessment information. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is not equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 20, 2011

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board’s decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.