FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Thomas Eley
DOCKET NO.: 06-31024.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-33-304-028-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Thomas Eley, the appellant(s), by attorney Jason T. Shilson, of O'Keefe Lyons & Hynes, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***no change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$19,049
IMPR.:	$115,591
TOTAL:	$134,640

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 3,175 square foot parcel of land improved with a 113-year old, three-story, masonry, multi-family, dwelling containing 4,900 square feet of living area, four apartment units, four baths, and a full basement used as an apartment. The appellant argued unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant, via counsel, submitted descriptions and assessment information on a total of seven properties suggested as comparable and located within one-half mile of the subject. The properties are described as two or three-story, masonry or frame, multi-family, dwellings with three or four apartment units, three, four or four and four-half baths, and a full basement with two finished. The properties range: in age from 113 to 127; in size from 1,720 to 4,864 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from $22.05 to $49.76 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the

appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment of $115,591 or $23.59 per square foot of living area was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on a total of four properties suggested as comparable and located within the subject's neighborhood with three located within one-quarter mile of the subject. The properties are described as three-story, masonry, multi-family, dwellings with four or five apartment units, four or five baths, and a full, unfinished basement. The properties range: in age from 113 to 127 years; in size from 4,758 to 5,048 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from $23.47 to $23.97 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The parties presented a total of 11 properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The PTAB finds the Appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and the board of review's comparables most similar to the subject in size, design, age, and amenities. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the PTAB's analysis. The properties are masonry, three-story, multi-family dwellings located within the subject's neighborhood. The properties range: in age from 113 to 127 years; in size from 4,758 to 5,048 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from $22.05 to $23.97 per square foot of living area. In comparison, the subject's improvement assessment of $23.59 per square foot of living area is within the range of these comparables. The remaining comparables were given less weight due to disparities in size, design, and/or construction. The PTAB finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 20, 2011

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board’s decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.