FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: John Tzortzis
DOCKET NO.: 06-29442.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-36-204-001-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Tzortzis, the appellant(s), by attorney Dennis M. Nolan, of Dennis M. Nolan, P.C. in Bartlett; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***no change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$ 10,812
IMPR.:	$ 43,002
TOTAL:	$ 53,814

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 5,100 square foot parcel improved with a 115-year-old, two-story, mixed-use building of frame and masonry construction containing 5,616 square feet of building area and located in West Chicago Township, Cook County. Features of the building include four and one-half bathrooms, a partial-unfinished basement and a four-car detached garage. The subject contains four apartments and two commercial units.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptive information for one property suggested as comparable to the subject. Based on the appellant's documents, the suggested comparable consists of a mixed-use, 2,332 square foot building of frame construction located on the same street and block as the subject. In addition, the appellant submitted twenty pages of Property Search Results from the Cook County

Assessor's Office. The Property Search Results highlighted numerous properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The address, property index number, classification code, neighborhood code, city and total assessed value for each property was provided. Based on the evidence submitted, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of $53,814. The subject's improvement assessment is $43,002 or $7.65 per square foot of building area. In support of the assessment, the board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with two-story, mixed-use buildings of frame and masonry construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 4,032 to 4,951 square feet of building area and range in age from 97 to 108 years old. The comparables contain from three to four and one-half bathrooms and a partial or full-unfinished basement. Three comparables have central air-conditioning and one comparable has a one-car garage. The comparables contain from two to four apartments as well as one commercial unit. The improvement assessments range from $7.62 to $9.66 per square foot of building area. Based on the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds the board of review's comparables to be the most similar properties to the subject in the record. These four properties are similar to the subject in improvement size, amenities, age, design and location and have improvement assessments ranging from $7.62 to $9.66 per square foot of building area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of $7.65 falls within the range established by these properties. The Board finds that the appellant's suggested comparables do not provide any support for a reduction in the subject's assessment. The only information provided with regard to the appellant's comparables was the address, property index number, classification code, neighborhood code, city and total assessed value for each property. The appellant also failed to provide descriptive data for the one comparable located on the same street and block as the subject. Without adequate

descriptions of the properties, the Board finds it is impossible to evaluate their comparability to the subject.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject property was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 24, 2010

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board’s decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.