FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Cary Scott Brown
DOCKET NO.: 06-28971.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-31-428-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Cary Scott Brown, the appellant, by attorney Lisa A. Marino, of Marino & Assoc., PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***no change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$ 10,991
IMPR.:	$ 82,096
TOTAL:	$ 93,087

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 2,568 square foot parcel improved with a nine-year-old, two-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 2,800 square feet of living area and located in West Chicago Township, Cook County. Features of the residence include two and one-half bathrooms, a full-unfinished basement, central air-conditioning and a two-car detached garage.

The appellant, through counsel, appeared before the PTAB arguing unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptive information on four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. Based on the appellant's documents, the four suggested comparables consist of two-story, single-family dwellings of frame or masonry construction located within approximately seven blocks of the subject. The improvements range in size from 2,508 to 3,608 square feet of living area and range in age from two to fifteen years old. The comparables contain from two and one-half to four

and one-half bathrooms, a full-finished basement and central air-conditioning. Two comparables have a fireplace and two comparables have a two-car detached garage. The improvement assessments range from $22.56 to $23.92 per square foot of living area.

At hearing, the appellant's attorney argued that the appellant's comparables two, three and four are similar to the subject in exterior construction and size. Based on the evidence submitted, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of $93,087. The subject's improvement assessment is $82,096 or $29.32 per square foot of living area. In support of the assessment the board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with two-story, single-family dwellings of masonry construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 2,400 to 2,508 square feet of living area and range in age from seven to ten years old. The comparables contain two or two and one-half bathrooms, a partial or full-finished basement, central air-conditioning and a multi-car detached garage. Three comparables have a fireplace. The improvement assessments range from $30.39 to $32.67 per square foot of living area.

At hearing, the board's representative indicated that the board of review would rest on the written evidence submissions. Based on the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After hearing the testimony and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

The PTAB finds the appellant's comparable three and the board of review's comparables to be the most similar properties to the subject in the record. These five properties are similar to the subject in improvement size, amenities, age, design and location and have improvement assessments ranging from $23.51 to $32.67 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of $29.32 falls within the range established by these properties. The PTAB finds the appellant's three remaining comparables less similar to the subject in

improvement size and/or exterior construction and accorded less weight. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported by the most similar properties contained in the record.

As a result of this analysis, the PTAB finds the appellant has failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 22, 2011

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board’s decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.