



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Vesna Kljajic Cejovic
DOCKET NO.: 06-26052.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-22-105-015-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Vesna Kljajic Cejovic, the appellant, by attorney Mitchell L. Klein, of Schiller Klein PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 9,291
IMPR.: \$ 49,064
TOTAL: \$ 58,355

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story, two-flat multi-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 3,900 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 95 years old. Features of the home include a full, finished basement and a two-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on three comparable properties described as two-story masonry, four-flat multi-family dwellings that are between 93 and 96 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 4,414 to 4,576 square feet of living area. The comparables have full basements, one of which is finished, one has central air conditioning and each has a garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$10.02 to \$11.52 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$12.58 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment

information on three comparable properties consisting of two-story, two, three or four-flat masonry multi-family dwellings that are either 83 or 99 years old. The dwellings range in size from 3,045 to 3,688 square feet of living area. The comparables have full basements, one of which is finished, one has a fireplace and each has a garage. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$12.73 to \$13.64 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant noted the differences between the subject property and the board of review's comparables with respect to size, number of units and age.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds the comparables submitted by both parties were similar to the subject in use, design, exterior construction, foundation and age. The appellant's comparables were much larger than the subject and the board of review's comparables two and three were much smaller than the subject. Thus, these comparables received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The board of review's comparable one was most similar to the subject in size. As a result, this comparable received the most weight in the Board's analysis. The comparable had an improvement assessment of \$12.73 per square foot of living area and supports the subject's improvement assessment of \$12.58 per square foot of living area. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

Frank J. Huff

Member

Member

Mario M. Louie

Shawn R. Lerski

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 21, 2010

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.