



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ben Hutchen
DOCKET NO.: 06-25759.001-R-1 through 06-25759.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ben Hutchen, the appellant, by attorney Michael Griffin in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| DOCKET NO | PARCEL NUMBER | LAND | IMPRVMT | TOTAL |
|------------------|--------------------|--------|---------|----------|
| 06-25759.001-R-1 | 05-20-224-006-0000 | 14,876 | 55,329 | \$70,205 |
| 06-25759.002-R-1 | 05-20-224-008-0000 | 13,722 | 55,329 | \$69,051 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of two lots that are improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 3,469 square feet of living area. The dwelling is four years old. Features of the home include a full, finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The improvement assessment is prorated 50% for each parcel.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on four comparable properties described as two-story frame, masonry, or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from seven to fifty-six years old. The appellant's comparables all have the same neighborhood code as the subject, and one is located in the same tax block as the subject. The comparable dwellings range in size from 2,523 to 3,191 square feet of living area. One comparable has a partial, finished basement; one has a full, unfinished basement; and two do not have basements. Each comparable has central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15.11 to \$24.56 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$110,658, or \$31.90 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the

appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on three comparable properties consisting of two-story stucco or masonry dwellings that are either three or four years old. The comparables all have the same neighborhood code as the subject. The dwellings range in size from 3,419 to 3,620 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, either finished or unfinished, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a two-car garage. These properties have improvement assessments of either \$31.89 or \$39.23 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of seven equity comparables. The appellant's comparables numbered two through four differed from the subject in exterior construction, and comparable four also differed in age and size. The comparable numbered two by the board of review differed from the subject in exterior construction. As a result, these comparables received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds the appellant's comparable numbered one and the comparables numbered one and three by the board of review were most similar to the subject in exterior construction. They were also very similar in size, style, location, and age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$24.56 to \$39.23 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$31.90 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

Shawn R. Lerbis

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 23, 2010

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.