

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Mary Maris
DOCKET NO.: 06-25694.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-29-402-047-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mary Maris, the appellant, by attorney Timothy Gambacorta of Evanston and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 50-year-old, one-story single-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 1,427 square feet of living area and located in New Trier Township, Cook County. The residence contains two bathrooms, a full basement, a fireplace and a two-car garage.

The appellant, through counsel, appeared before the PTAB and submitted evidence claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant offered four suggested comparable properties located within a half mile of the subject. These properties consist of one-story single-family dwellings of masonry construction and range in age from 50 to 75 years. The comparables have two bathrooms, and full or partial basements, two finished. One home is air-conditioned and all have fireplaces. The properties have one or two-car garages. The comparables contain between 1,429 and 1,760 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$15,528 to \$27,312 or from \$10.62 to \$15.51 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final improvement assessment of \$27,112, or \$19.00 per square foot of living area, was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board offered four suggested comparable properties located within a half mile of subject. The comparables consist of one-story single-family dwellings of masonry construction and range in age from 50 to 56 years. The comparables contain one bathroom, with some half baths, three with full basements; three have air conditioning; all have fireplaces and all have one or two-car garages. The comparables

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the COOK County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$19,790
IMPR. \$27,112
TOTAL: \$46,902

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/TMcG. 12/08

range in size from 1,359 to 1,491 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments of between \$29,206 and \$32,933 or from \$20.22 to \$23.64 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.

After hearing the testimony and considering the evidence, the PTAB finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has failed to overcome this burden.

The PTAB finds the board's four comparables and the appellant's comparables three and four are the comparables most similar to the subject. These six properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$10.76 and \$23.64 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$19.00 is within the range of comparable properties. The PTAB gives less weight to the appellant's comparables one and two due to larger building areas and/or an older building. After considering the suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the PTAB finds the evidence is insufficient to effect a change in the subject's current assessment.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has not adequately demonstrated that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.




Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 19, 2008



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the

subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.