

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: William Figler
DOCKET NO.: 06-25682.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-29-308-039-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Figler, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park of Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

The record disclosed that the subject property consists of two dwellings sited on a single 2,976 square foot parcel. The appellant's petition indicates that the multi-family dwelling containing 1,969 square feet of living area is the subject of this appeal. The subject improvement consists of a two-story, 112-year-old, multi-family dwelling of frame construction with three full bathrooms, air-conditioning and built with crawl space. The second dwelling consists of a two-story, 118-year-old, multi-family dwelling of frame construction containing 1,435 square feet of living area.

The appellant, through counsel, appeared before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptive information on twelve properties suggested as comparable to the subject. Based on the appellant's documents, the twelve suggested comparables consist of two-story, multi-family dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 1,680 to 2,432 square feet of living area and range in age from 94 to 128 years. The comparables contain two or three full bathrooms and a full-finished or unfinished basement. Seven comparables contain a one-car or two-car detached garage. The improvement assessments range from \$15.05 to \$23.10 per square foot of living area.

At hearing, the appellant's attorney indicated that only the multi-family dwelling containing 1,969 square feet of living area

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 18,417
IMPR.: \$ 66,482
TOTAL: \$ 84,899

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

is the subject of this appeal. Based on the evidence submitted, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total improvement assessment of \$66,482 was disclosed. Of the total improvement assessment, \$47,702 or \$24.23 per square foot of living area is allocated to the improvement at issue in this appeal. The board also submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on six properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with two-story, multi-family dwellings of frame or masonry construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 1,582 to 2,400 square feet of living area and range in age from 113 to 118 years. The comparables contain two, two and one-half or three full bathrooms and a full-finished or unfinished basement. One comparable has air-conditioning and five comparables contain a one-car or two-car detached garage. The improvement assessments range from \$25.51 to \$31.34 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After hearing the testimony and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

The Board finds the appellant's comparables three, five, seven, eight and twelve and the board of review's comparables one, five and six to be the most similar properties to the subject in the record. These eight properties are similar to the subject in improvement size, age, design and location and have improvement assessments ranging from \$17.16 to \$28.95 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$24.23 falls within the range established by these properties. The Board finds the remaining comparables less similar to the subject in improvement size. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported by similar properties contained in the record.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject's multi-family dwelling containing 1,969 square feet of living area is inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and no reduction is warranted.


This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 24, 2009



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the

subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.