



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Beverly Higgins
DOCKET NO.: 06-25591.001-C-1 through 06-25591.002-C-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Beverly Higgins, the appellant(s), by attorney Michael Griffin in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
06-25591.001-C-1	25-08-226-015-0000	7,511	51,388	\$58,899
06-25591.002-C-1	25-08-226-016-0000	7,053	32,848	\$39,901

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of two parcels of land totaling 13,938 square feet and improved with a five-year old, one-story, commercial building. The building contains 4,779 square feet of building area. The appellant, via counsel, argued that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptions on a total of four properties suggested as comparable to the subject and located within the subject's neighborhood. The data in its entirety reflects that the properties are improved with a special commercial improvement, industrial building, or other industrial minor improvements. The other industrial minor improvements do not contain buildings located on the parcels; only comparables #1 and #2 have buildings located on the properties. The two properties are 60 and 81 years old, contain 1,625 and 2,703 square feet of building area, and have improvement assessments of \$8.16 and \$9.80 per square foot of building area. The data reflected on the appellant's grid did not match the data reflected on the assessor

website printouts that the appellant submitted. No other information was presented to substantiate the data written in the appellant's grid. Based upon this analysis, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment was \$84,236, or \$17.63 per square foot of building area. The board also submitted copies of the property characteristic printouts for the subject as well as raw sales data on eight properties. The sales occurred between July 2002 and January 2008 for prices ranging from \$200,000 to \$800,000 or from \$46.00 to \$224.87 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After considering the evidence and reviewing the record, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

Appellants who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill. 2d 1, 544 N.E.2d 762 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. Proof of assessment inequity should include assessment data and documentation establishing the physical, locational, and jurisdictional similarities of the suggested comparables to the subject property. *Property Tax Appeal Board Rule* 1910.65(b). Mathematical equality in the assessment process is not required. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395, 169 N.E.2d 769 (1960). Having considered the evidence presented, the PTAB concludes that the appellant has not met this burden and that a reduction is not warranted.

The appellant presented assessment data on a total of four equity comparables. The PTAB finds two of these properties did not contain buildings on the parcels and the third property is an industrial building. Therefore, the PTAB finds that the appellant failed to provide sufficient comparables to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject property was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

Shawn R. Lerbis

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 20, 2011

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.