FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Michael Carothers
DOCKET NO.: 06-24429.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-27-112-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Carothers, the appellant, by attorney Mitchell L. Klein, of Schiller Klein PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***no change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$ 44,520
IMPR.:	$ 202,748
TOTAL:	$ 247,268

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property contains 15,900 square feet of land improved with an 86-year old, two-story, masonry, single-family dwelling with 4,817 square feet of living area. Features of the home include three full and one half-baths, a full basement, two fireplaces, and a two-car garage. The property is located in New Trier Township.

The subject property is an owner-occupied residence that was the subject matter of an appeal before the Property Tax Appeal Board the prior year under docket #04-26231-R-1 and #05-28109-R-1. In each appeal, the Property Tax Appeal Board rendered a decision lowering the assessment of the subject property to $247,268 based on the evidence submitted by the parties. The appellant also submitted assessment information on four comparables to demonstrate the subject was being inequitably assessed.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the final assessment of the subject property totaling $247,268 was disclosed. The board of review submitted a description and assessment information on one comparable to

demonstrate the subject was being assessed uniformly. In addition, the board of review's representative asserted that 2004, 2005 and 2006 were within the same general assessment period for residential property and that the board of review had granted this appellant a reduction reflecting the Board's prior year's decision.

After hearing the arguments and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. Pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185), the Board finds the prior year's decision should be carried forward to the subsequent year subject only to equalization.

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) provides in part:

> If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel on which a residence occupied by the owner is situated, such reduced assessment, subject to equalization, shall remain in effect for the remainder of the general assessment period as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review.

The record disclosed the Property Tax Appeal Board issued a decision reducing the subject's 2004 and 2005 assessment. The record further indicates that the subject property is an owner-occupied dwelling and that 2004, 2005 and 2006 are within the same general assessment period. The record contains no evidence indicating the subject property sold in an arm's length transaction subsequent to the Board's decision or that the assessment year in question is in a different general assessment period.

For these reasons, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that no change in the subject's 2006 assessment is warranted because the 2006 assessment reduced by the board of review currently reflects the Board's prior year's decision plus the application of an equalization factor, if any. Further, the Board finds that the total assessment of $247,268 is supported by the five equity comparables submitted by the parties in this matter; therefore, no further assessment reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 21, 2011

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board’s decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.