



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: 10605-07 S Artesian, LLC  
DOCKET NO.: 06-23923.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 24-13-233-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 10605-07 S Artesian, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Joseph G. Kusper, of Storino Ramello & Durkin in Rosemont; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,800  
**IMPR.:** \$36,482  
**TOTAL:** \$41,282

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a 3-story multi-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 6,446 square feet of living area in 6 apartments. The dwelling is 48 years old and is built on a concrete slab.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on three comparable properties described as 2 or 3-story masonry dwellings that range in age from 49 to 78 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 5,433 to 6,825 square feet of living area in 3 or 6 apartments. The appellant did not include any data concerning basements, air conditioning, fireplaces or garages for the comparables. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$6.66 to \$6.88 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$5.66<sup>1</sup> per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

---

<sup>1</sup> The appellant incorrectly listed the size of the building as 4,284 square feet of living area instead of the correct 6,446 square feet. This results in an incorrect improvement assessment of \$8.52 per square foot instead of the correct \$5.66 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on two comparable properties consisting of a 2-story and a 3-story masonry multi-family dwelling 49 and 51 years old respectively. The dwellings contain 6,622 and 6,825 square feet of living area, and each contains 6 apartments. One comparable has a full, unfinished basement, and one is built on a concrete slab. These properties have improvement assessments of \$6.66 and \$6.88 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds the comparables submitted by both parties were very similar to the subject in location, size, exterior construction, features and age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$6.66 to \$6.88 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$5.66 per square foot of living area is below the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*Shawn R. Lerbis*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 3, 2010

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.