



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kelli D. Marshall  
DOCKET NO.: 06-23709.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 14-06-221-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kelli D. Marshall, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$9,820  
IMPR.: \$37,567  
TOTAL: \$47,387**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a 2-story class 2-11 multi-family dwelling of frame construction containing 2,010 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 100 years old and features a full, unfinished basement.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process<sup>1</sup>. The appellant submitted information on three comparable properties described as 2-story masonry or frame class 2-11 multi-family dwellings either 90 or 103 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 2,424 to 3,065 square feet of living area. All comparables feature full, unfinished basements. One has central air conditioning. Two have 2 fireplaces and 2 or 3-car garages. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$14.36 to \$15.75 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$18.69 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

---

<sup>1</sup> The appellant marked "comparable sales" as the basis of the appeal, but since neither party provided any sales comparables, the appeal is being treated as "assessment equity".

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on three comparable properties consisting of 2-story frame class 2-11 multi-family dwellings that range in age from 93 to 118 years old. The dwellings range in size from 1,580 to 1,854 square feet of living area. Two comparables feature full, unfinished basements, and one is on a slab foundation. All three have 2-car garages. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$21.19 to \$25.46 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal (See footnote 1). Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

Comparables #1 and #2 submitted by the board of review and all three comparables submitted by the appellant differed from the subject significantly in size. Therefore, these five comparables received less weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds comparable #3 submitted by the board of review was most similar to the subject in size, style, exterior construction, features and age. Due to its similarity to the subject, this comparable received the most weight in the Board's analysis. This comparable had an improvement assessment of \$21.19 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$18.69 per square foot of living area is less than this most similar comparable. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario M. Louie*

Member

*Shawn R. Lerbis*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 22, 2011

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.