



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Anton & Angie Pekic
DOCKET NO.: 06-23637.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-22-116-049-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Anton & Angie Pekic, the appellant, by attorney Terrence Kennedy Jr., of Law Offices of Terrence Kennedy Jr. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 29,144
IMPR.: \$ 127,215
TOTAL: \$ 156,359

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 6,935 square feet of living area. The dwelling is four years old. Features of the home include a full, finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a two-car garage.

The appellants' appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellants submitted information on four comparable properties described as two-story masonry or frame and masonry dwellings that are between four and 13 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 5,270 to 5,720 square feet of living area. The comparables have a full, unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one, three or four fireplaces and an attached garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15.35 to \$15.90 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$18.34 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed.

The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on three comparable properties consisting of two-story masonry dwellings that are either three or seven years old. The dwellings range in size from 5,085 to 6,115 square feet of living area. Each of the comparables has a full, finished basement, central air conditioning, two, three or four fireplaces and a two or four-car garage. These properties have improvement assessments of either \$18.65 or \$18.94 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellants contend unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellants have not met this burden.

The Board finds the appellants' comparables two and three and the board of review's comparables two and three were significantly smaller than the subject. As a result, these comparables received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The appellants' comparables one and four and the board of review's comparable one were similar to the subject in size. They were also similar to the subject in other features. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$15.43 to \$18.65 per square foot. The subject's improvement assessment of \$18.34 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the most similar comparables. The Board notes the comparable assessed at \$18.65 per square foot was most similar to the subject in size and it had a finished basement like the subject. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario M. Louie

Member

Shawn R. Lerbis

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 23, 2010

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.