



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Larry Hlista
DOCKET NO.: 06-23090.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 20-28-100-037-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Larry Hlista, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss of Schiller Klein PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 5,434
IMPR.: \$ 23,549
TOTAL: \$ 28,983

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a three-story multi-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 7,215 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 123 years old, and it has six apartment units and a full, unfinished basement.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on four comparable properties described as three-story masonry multi-family dwellings that range in age from 98 to 122 years old. The appellant's comparables all have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject, and one is located next door to the subject. The comparable dwellings range in size from 7,563 to 9,081 square feet of living area. Each comparable has six apartment units and a full, unfinished basement. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$2.36 to \$2.74 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$3.26 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed.

The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of three-story masonry multi-family dwellings that range in age from 93 to 111 years old. The comparables all have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject, and two are located one-quarter mile from the subject. The dwellings range in size from 5,880 to 7,914 square feet of living area. Each comparable has six apartment units and a full, unfinished basement; one has four fireplaces; and two have two-car garages. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$3.09 to \$3.45 per square foot of living area. As part of its evidence, the board of review disclosed that the subject sold for \$339,000 in November 2004. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant's attorney noted that, unlike the subject, two of the comparables submitted by the board of review had garages. The appellant's attorney also noted that one of the board of review's comparables was smaller than the subject and that two others had lower assessments than the subject.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds that all of the eight comparables submitted by both parties were three-story masonry apartment buildings like the subject. They were also very similar to the subject in foundation and location and were generally similar in age and size. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$2.36 to \$3.45 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$3.26 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by these comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

Frank J. Huff

Member

Member

Mario M. Louie

Shawn R. Lerski

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 21, 2010

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.