



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mark Skowron  
DOCKET NO.: 05-25591.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 18-07-116-026-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Skowron, the appellant(s), by attorney Joseph G. Kuser, of Storino, Ramello & Durkin of Rosemont; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 24,051  
**IMPR.:** \$ 70,296  
**TOTAL:** \$ 94,347

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property consists of an 18,790 square foot parcel improved with a 16-year-old, two-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 3,667 square feet of living area and located in Lyons Township, Cook County. Features of the residence include three full bathrooms, two half-baths, a full-finished basement, central air-conditioning, two fireplaces and a two and one-half car attached garage.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board arguing unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptive information on three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. Based on the appellant's documents, the three suggested comparables consist of two-story, single-family dwellings of stucco, masonry or frame and masonry

construction located within several blocks of the subject. The improvements range in size from 4,083 to 5,752 square feet of living area and range in age from seven to 49 years. The comparables contain three and one-half or four and one-half bathrooms, one or three fireplaces and a two-car or three-car attached garage. Two comparables contain a full-finished or unfinished basement and air-conditioning. The improvement assessments range from \$13.74 to \$16.93 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence submitted, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$94,347. The subject's improvement assessment is \$70,296 or \$19.17 per square foot of living area. In support of the assessment the board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with two-story, single-family dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 3,719 to 3,800 square feet of living area and range in age from 29 to 52 years. The comparables contain from three and one-half to five and one-half bathrooms, a finished or unfinished basement, central air-conditioning, two or three fireplaces and a multi-car garage. The improvement assessments range from \$19.36 to \$21.99 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

Both parties submitted a total of six properties similar to the subject in design, amenities and location but with many variations in size of living area, exterior construction and/or age. These six properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$13.74 to \$21.99 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$19.17 falls within the range established by these properties. The Board finds of the six properties offered for comparison, two vary significantly from the subject in size of living area, four vary in exterior construction and three vary greatly in age. After considering adjustments for size, exterior construction and age,

as well as other differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the evidence submitted by both parties does not support a change in the subject's assessment.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 23, 2010

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.