

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: William A. Hoffman
DOCKET NO.: 05-24799.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-33-307-016-0000
TOWNSHIP: NORTH

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are William A. Hoffman, the appellant, by attorney Michael E. Crane of Crane and Norcross of Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review (board).

The subject property consists of a 110-year-old, two-story, four-unit apartment building of masonry construction containing 4,017 square feet of living area and located in North Township, Cook County. The apartment property includes four bathrooms, a basement apartment and a two-car garage.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant offered three suggested comparable properties located within a quarter mile of the subject. These properties consist of two or three-story, three or six-unit buildings of masonry or frame construction and range in age from 115 to 130 years. The comparables include three or six bathrooms, two with full basements, one an apartment and two sites have a one or three-car garage. The comparables contain between 3,824 and 6,624 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$56,135 to \$87,668 or from \$13.23 to \$14.68 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" that reflect the subject's total assessment of \$76,178. The subject's final improvement assessment of \$60,987, or \$15.18 per square foot of living area, was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review offered four suggested comparable properties located within a quarter mile of the subject. The comparables consist of three-story, two, three or

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the COOK County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$15,191
IMPR.	\$60,987
TOTAL:	\$76,178

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/TMcG.

four-unit buildings of masonry construction. The comparables range in age from 118 to 125 years and three have full basements, one an apartment. They have three or four bathrooms, one with air conditioning, one with fireplaces and two sites have a two-car garage. The comparable properties contain between 4,152 and 4,233 square feet of living area with improvement assessments ranging from \$60,668 to \$70,624 or from \$14.33 to \$16.86 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has failed to overcome this burden.

The PTAB finds the board's three comparables are most similar to the subject and support the board's current assessment. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$14.33 to \$16.86 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$15.18 is within this range of properties. The Board finds the appellant's comparables carry less weight because they are less similar to the subject in living area and construction. After considering the similarities in the suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the PTAB finds the evidence is insufficient to effect a change in the subject's assessment.

As a result of this analysis, the PTAB finds the appellant did not adequately demonstrate that the subject apartment building was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board are subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member

Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 28, 2007



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.