

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Safayat Dadani
DOCKET NO.: 05-24618.001-C-1 thru 05-24618.003-C-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Safayat Dadani, the appellant, by attorney John P. Fitzgerald of John P. Fitzgerald, Ltd of Chicago and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 10-year-old, masonry, one-story, gas station/mini-mart building containing 1,789 square feet of building area sited on a 19,547 square foot parcel and located in Lyons Township, Cook County.

The appellant, through counsel, appeared before the PTAB and submitted evidence before the PTAB claiming that the subject's market value is not accurately reflected in its assessment.

In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal dated January 1, 2005 containing the sales comparison approach to value and resulting in a market value of \$315,000.

In the sales approach the appraiser used four service station sales ranging in size from 1,085 to 2,800 square feet that occurred between September 2002 and June 2003 for prices ranging from \$275,000 to \$412,255 or from \$14.03 to \$16.36 per square foot and after appropriate adjustments arrived at a value of \$16.00 per square foot or a value of \$312,752 or \$315,000 rounded via the sales comparison approach to market value.

Based upon this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's total assessment to reflect the reduced market value.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" that disclosed the subject's total assessment of \$155,191 which reflects a market value of \$408,397 or \$228.28 per square foot as factored by the Cook County Ordinance level of 38%. The board submitted evidence in support of its assessed valuation of the subject property. As evidence the board offered nine sales of

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the COOK County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO.	PROPERTY NO.	LAND	IMPR.	TOTAL
05-24618.001-C-1	18-36-300-005	\$24,700	\$52,918	\$77,618
05-24618.002-C-1	18-36-300-015	\$11,937	\$17,639	\$29,576
05-24618.003-C-1	18-36-300-016	\$11,937	\$ 569	\$12,506

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/TMcG. 11/08

service station properties that occurred between January 2003 and June 2005 for prices ranging from \$540,000 to \$1,861,600 or from \$145.83 to \$1200.00 per square foot of land and building. No analysis and adjustment of the sales data was provided by the board. Based on this evidence the board asked for confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

When overvaluation is claimed the appellant has the burden of proving the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. *Property Tax Appeal Board Rule 1910.63(e)*. Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. *Property Tax Appeal Board Rule 1910.65(c)*.

The PTAB finds that the appellant has met this burden and has submitted the best evidence of market value. The appellant's appraisal indicates that the subject property was valued at \$315,000. Since the market value of the subject has been established, the Cook County Class 5a property assessment of 38% will apply. The subject's total assessment should not be in excess of \$119,700, while the subject's current total assessment is at \$155,191.

The PTAB gives less weight to the board's sales evidence because it lacks analysis and a supported conclusion of value. One of the sales is beyond the 2005 assessment date.

As a result of this analysis, the PTAB finds that the appellant has adequately demonstrated that the subject property was overvalued and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 5, 2008



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the

session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.