



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Gary Richert
DOCKET NO.: 05-24572.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-07-116-023-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gary Richert, the appellant(s), by attorney Joseph G. Kusper, of Storino Ramello & Durkin of Rosemont; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 23,412
IMPR.: \$ 98,178
TOTAL: \$ 121,590

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of an 18,291 square foot parcel improved with a 22-year-old, two-story style single-family dwelling of masonry construction. Containing 4,600 square feet of living area, the subject features five baths, a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a three-car garage.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant offered a spreadsheet detailing

three suggested comparable properties located in the same coded assessment neighborhood as the subject. These properties consist of two-story style single-family dwellings of masonry, stucco or frame and masonry construction ranging from seven to forty-nine years old. The comparables range in size from 4,083 to 5,752 square feet of living area with amenities such as three or four full baths, half-baths, central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces, and two or three car garages; and two have full basements. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$13.74 to \$16.93 per square foot of living area. A copy of the subject's 2005 board of review final decision was also included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final improvement assessment of \$98,178, or \$21.34 per square foot of living area, was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review offered property characteristic sheets and a spreadsheet detailing three suggested comparable properties located in the same coded assessment neighborhood as the subject. The comparables consist of two-story style single-family dwellings of frame or masonry construction ranging in age from one to nineteen years old. These properties range in size from 4,112 to 4,895 square feet of living area and have amenities such as three or five full baths, full or partial finished or unfinished basements, central air conditioning, from one to three fireplaces and multi-car garage; two also have additional half baths. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$21.48 to \$24.14 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the

assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has failed to overcome this burden.

The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the board of review's comparables are the most similar to the subject in size in the record. However, the Board finds that one is inferior in construction type; and two are substantially superior in age. The Board accords the most weight to the properties submitted by the board of review. Further, the Board finds that these properties have amenities commensurate with the subject's amenities. The Board finds that the appellant's comparables overall differ from the subject in size, construction type, amenities and/or age. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$21.34 is supported by the properties contained in the record.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and no reduction is warranted.

Lbs/09

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Crit

Chairman

K. L. Fan

Member

Richard A. Huff

Member

Harold H. Lewis

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 23, 2009

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.