

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Thomas Meier
DOCKET NO.: 05-22822.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 15-34-431-041-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Thomas Meier, the appellant, by attorney Rusty A. Payton of the Law Offices of Rusty A. Payton, P.C., Chicago, Illinois; and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 52-year old, one and one-half story dwelling of masonry construction containing 1,081 square feet of living area with a partial, unfinished basement and central air conditioning.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis detailing four suggested comparable properties and Exhibit 1 listing three additional comparables. The appellant's map indicates the comparables listed on the grid analysis are located one mile from the subject. The comparables are one-story frame or masonry dwellings that are 55 or 56 years old with no basements. One comparable has central air conditioning. Their living areas are from 1,033 to 1,350 square feet in size, and have improvement assessments of \$12.47 to \$12.65 per square foot. The additional comparables are 1,232 to 1,338 square feet in size and have improvement assessments of \$12.72 to \$12.99 per square foot. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$18.96 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review offered the property characteristic sheets and a spreadsheet detailing four suggested comparable properties. Three comparables are located in the same tax block as the subject and one comparable

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$5,312
IMPR.:	\$16,723
TOTAL:	\$22,035

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/CKG

is located in close proximity to the subject. The comparable properties consist of one-story or one and one-half story frame or masonry dwellings that are 54 to 83 years old. Two comparables have full, finished basements, one comparable has a full, unfinished basement, and one comparable has a slab foundation. Two comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have one car, one and one-half car, two car, or two and one-half car garages. The dwellings contain 1,014 to 1,141 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments of \$15.47 to \$15.62 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has overcome this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of eleven equity comparables. The appellant's comparables differed from the subject in design and foundation and were located one mile from the subject. Comparable one, two, and four also differed from the subject in exterior construction. The additional comparables on appellant's Exhibit 1 had no descriptive information about the comparables for the Board to analyze. The board of review's comparable one, three, and four also differed from the subject in design. Comparable three differed in foundation from the subject and comparable four was significantly older than the subject. As a result, they received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The board of review's comparable two was more similar to the subject in location, age, and physical characteristics. This comparable had an improvement assessment of \$15.47 per square foot. However, the subject's improvement assessment of \$18.96 per square foot falls above the level established by this comparable. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the Board finds the subject's per square foot assessment is not supported by the most comparable properties contained in the record and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.


As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has adequately demonstrated that the subject

dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 7, 2007



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Docket No. 05-22822.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.