

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Dolores and Robert Domas
DOCKET NO.: 05-22624.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-09-409-013-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dolores and Robert Domas, the appellants, by attorney Stephanie Park of Stephanie Park, P.C., Chicago, Illinois; and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a seven-year old, one and one-half story style dwelling of frame construction containing 2,218 square feet of living area with a partial, finished basement, central air conditioning and a two-car garage.

The appellants submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of the equity argument, the appellants submitted a grid analysis detailing 12 suggested comparable properties located in the same neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables are one and one-half or two-story frame or frame and masonry dwellings that are from five to ten years old. Eleven of the comparables have basements and fireplaces and they all have central air conditioning and garages. The comparables contain from 2,194 to 2,320 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$11.55 to \$12.43 per square foot. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$13.28 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review offered the property characteristic sheets and a spreadsheet detailing four suggested comparable properties located in the same neighborhood as the subject property. The comparable properties consist of one and one-half story frame dwellings that are seven years old. They have basements, central air conditioning and garages. The dwellings contain 2,218 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments of \$13.28 per square foot.

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$	7,946
IMPR.:	\$	29,459
TOTAL:	\$	37,405

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/MKB/6-09

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellants' argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellants have not overcome this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of 16 equity comparables that were similar to the subject in location. The appellants' comparable two and the board of review's comparables are of the same design and exterior construction as the subject. These comparables were also similar to the subject in age and size and received the greatest weight in the Board's analysis. They had improvement assessments of \$12.24 or \$13.28 per square foot. The subject's \$13.28 per square foot improvement assessment is supported by these assessments. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the Board finds the subject's assessment is supported by the most comparable properties contained in the record and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 19, 2009



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.