

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Dominic Storino
DOCKET NO.: 05-21348.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 12-36-303-096-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Dominic Storino, the appellant, by attorney Joseph G. Kuser of Storino, Ramello & Durkin of Rosemont and the Cook County Board of Review (board).

The subject property consists of a 32-year-old, one-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 1,809 square feet of living area and located in Leyden Township, Cook County. The residence includes two bathrooms, a partial basement, air conditioning and a two-car garage.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence before the PTAB claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant offered three suggested comparable properties located within one half mile of the subject. These properties consist of one or two-story dwellings of masonry construction, and range in age from 47 to 66 years. The comparables include two or three bathrooms with half baths, partial basements, two finished, one with air conditioning and two have fireplaces. The comparables have one or two-car garages. The comparables contain between 2,397 and 2,841 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,808 to \$25,312 or from \$7.95 to \$9.18 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final improvement assessment of \$25,360, or \$14.02 per square foot of living area, was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review offered four suggested comparable properties located within a quarter mile of the subject. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of masonry construction. The comparables range in age from 35 to 53 years and have full or partial basements, three finished. The comparables have one or two bathrooms with half baths, air conditioning, two with fireplaces and all have

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 6,520
IMPR.: \$25,360
TOTAL: \$31,880

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/TMcG.

one or two-car garages. The comparable properties contain between 1,841 and 2,072 square feet of living area with improvement assessments of between \$27,528 and \$31,790 or from \$14.84 to \$16.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has failed to overcome this burden.


The PTAB finds the board's comparables one, three and four are quite similar to the subject. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$14.84 to \$16.20 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$14.02 is below this range of properties. The PTAB gives less weight to the remaining comparables because they are less similar to the subject in living area and/or age. After considering the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the PTAB finds the evidence submitted is insufficient to effect a change in the subject's assessment.

As a result of this analysis, the PTAB finds the appellant did not adequately demonstrate that the subject property was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.




Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 28, 2009



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the

session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.