



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Angela Kraus
DOCKET NO.: 05-21216.001-R-1 through 05-21216.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Angela Kraus, the appellant(s), by attorney Mitchell L. Klein, of Schiller Klein & McElroy of Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
05-21216.001-R-1	10-14-111-015-0000	\$5,860	\$25,447	\$31,307
05-21216.002-R-1	10-14-111-016-0000	\$4,575	\$25,447	\$30,022

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of two parcels of land totaling 8,416 square foot and improved with a 63-year old, two-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwelling containing 2,810 square feet of living area, three and one-half baths, two fireplace, and a full, finished basement. The appellant argued unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant, via counsel, submitted information on a total of four properties suggested as comparable and located within the subject's neighborhood. The properties are described as two-story, frame or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings with between two and one-half and three and one-half baths, two fireplaces, a partial or full, finished basement, and, for two properties, air conditioning. The properties range: in age from 63 to 64 years; in size from 2,622 to 3,477 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$12.34 to \$18.12 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment of \$50,894 or \$18.11 per square foot of living area was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on a total of three properties suggested as comparable and located within the subject's neighborhood. The properties are described as two-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwellings with two and one-half baths, one, two or three fireplaces, air conditioning, and a full, finished basement. The properties range: in age from 53 to 64 years; in size from 2,661 to 2,941 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$18.39 to \$20.18 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant's attorney submitted a letter arguing that the board of review's documentation does not include assessment figures for the second parcel.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

As to the appellant's argument that the board of review did not include information on a second parcel, the PTAB finds the appellant is incorrect in this argument. The appellant's assessment value per square foot relates to the assessor's valuation of the property which was reduced at the board of review level. Once this reduction is applied, the subject's improvement assessment is correctly listed by the board of review as \$18.11

The parties submitted a total of seven properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The PTAB finds the appellant's comparables #1, #3 and #4 and the board of review's comparables are the most similar to the subject in size, design, exterior construction, and age. These properties are frame and masonry, two-story, single-family dwellings located in the subject's neighborhood. The properties range: in age from 53 to 64 years; in size from 2,661 to 3,477 square feet of living area; and in

improvement assessments from \$12.34 to \$20.18 per square foot of living area. In comparison, the subject's improvement assessment of \$18.11 per square foot of living area is within the range of these comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Richard A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

Shawn R. Lerbis

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: October 28, 2009

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.