

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Jafar Lashkariya
DOCKET NO.: 04-20828.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-36-427-026

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (hereinafter PTAB) are Jafar Lashkariya, the appellant, by Attorney Anthony M. Farace with the law firm of Amari & Locallo in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property is improved with a 47-year-old, two-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling containing 2,600 square feet of living area as well as a full basement, two full baths, and two apartments.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted data and information on three comparable properties for consideration located on the same block, as is the subject. They are improved with a two-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling. They range: in baths from three to four bathrooms; in apartments from two to three units; in age from 78 to 82 years; in size from 3,192 to 3,978 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$8.75 to \$10.05 per square foot of living area. Amenities also include garage area.

In addition, the appellant's pleadings also include a grid reflecting minimal data on six additional properties. Improvement assessments and size were solely submitted reflecting a range of improvement assessments from \$10.09 to \$10.96 per square foot. The subject's improvement assessment is \$11.54 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties for consideration. They are improved with a two-story, masonry, multi-family

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$	5,280
IMPR.:	\$	30,013
TOTAL:	\$	35,293

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/KPP

dwelling with two apartments located within a six-block radius of the subject. They range: in baths from two to four; in age from 46 to 48 years; in size from 2,256 to 2,806 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$11.71 to \$12.60 per square foot of living area. Amenities also include a full basement, while two properties contain a two-car garage. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The PTAB further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has not met this burden.

In totality, the parties submitted complete data on seven equity comparables. The PTAB finds that the comparables submitted by the board of review are most similar to the subject in style, size, age and amenities. Due to their similarities to the subject, these four comparables received the most weight in the PTAB's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$11.71 to \$12.60 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$11.54 per square foot of living area is below this range.


The PTAB accorded no weight to the six suggested comparables on the appellant's grid due to the absence of data relevant to a comparability analysis.

After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the PTAB finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 5, 2008



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the

subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.