FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Trev Minnaert
DOCKET NO.: 04-20403.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-12-401-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (hereinafter PTAB) are Trev Minnaert, the appellant(s), by attorney David C. Dunkin, of Arnstein & Lehr of Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$ 6,629
IMPR.:	$ 45,371
TOTAL:	$ 52,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is sited on a 4,250 square foot parcel of land, which is improved with a 78-year old, two-story, masonry, single-family dwelling. This improvement contains 1,892 square feet of living area as well as a full basement, one bath, one fireplace, and a one-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted assessment data and descriptions on 12 comparable properties for consideration. They are improved with a two-story dwelling of stucco, frame, or masonry exterior construction. They range: in baths from two to three; in age from 82 to 121 years; in size from 1,652 to 2,179 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from $20.02 to $21.83 per square foot of living area. Amenities included basement area, while nine properties also have garage area. The subject's improvement assessment is $23.98 per square foot of living area.

The appellant's pleadings also included assessor database printouts and color photographs, as well as a map of the

subject's neighborhood. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties for consideration located within the subject's subdivision. They are improved with a two-story, masonry dwelling. They range: in baths from one to two; in age from 65 to 79 years; in size from 1,440 to 2,035 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from $24.10 to $30.74 per square foot of living area. Amenities include from one to two fireplaces and basement area, while three properties also contain garage area. One property was identified as located on the same street as is the subject. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The PTAB finds that comparable #1 submitted by the appellant as well as comparables #2 and #3 submitted by the board of review are most similar to the subject in style, size, age and exterior construction. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the PTAB's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from $21.72 to $26.04 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of $23.98 per square foot of living area is within this range. The appellant's properties were accorded less weight due to a disparity in improvement age, size and/or exterior construction.

After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the PTAB finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____ _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 28, 2009

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.