

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Dan Baldwin
DOCKET NO.: 03-30714.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-33-407-019-0000
TOWNSHIP: North

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Dan Baldwin, the appellant, by attorney Melissa Whitley of Marino & Associates, P.C. of Chicago and the Cook County Board of Review (board).

The subject property consists of a 125-year-old, three-story, four-unit apartment building of frame construction containing 2,416 square feet of living area and located in North Township, Cook County. The apartment property includes three bathrooms, and no basement or garage.

The appellant, through counsel, appeared before the PTAB and submitted evidence claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant offered three suggested comparable properties located within a half mile of the subject. These properties consist of two or three-story apartment buildings of masonry or frame construction and range in age from 102 to 120 years. The comparables include two or three bathrooms and full or partial basements of which two are apartments. Two sites include two or three garages. The number of apartment units was not disclosed. The comparables contain between 2,900 and 4,626 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$45,278 to \$78,077 or from \$15.62 to \$17.50 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final improvement assessment of \$53,212, or \$22.02 per square foot of living area, was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review offered four suggested comparable properties located within one

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the COOK County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$20,000
IMPR. \$53,212
TOTAL: \$73,212

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/TMcG.

block of the subject. The comparables consist of two or three-story, two or three-unit buildings of stucco, frame or masonry construction. The comparables range in age from 93 to 115 years and three have full basements. They have two or three bathrooms and one site has a one-car garage. The comparable properties range in size from 2,298 to 2,424 square feet of living area with improvement assessments ranging from \$53,510 to \$58,163 or from \$22.31 to \$24.79 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.

After hearing the testimony and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has failed to overcome this burden.

Both parties submitted a total of seven suggested comparable properties of which the PTAB finds only the board's comparables one and four are similar to the subject. The remaining five comparables differ greatly in construction or living area. Without assessment adjustments, the seven properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$15.62 to \$24.79 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$22.02 is within this range of properties. The PTAB affords less weight to the remaining five comparables because they are less similar in living area or construction. After considering the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the PTAB finds the evidence is insufficient to effect a change in the subject's assessment.

As a result of this analysis, the PTAB finds the appellant did not adequately demonstrate that the subject apartment building was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 7, 2007



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.