

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Seo Ku Lee
DOCKET NO.: 03-22284.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-07-409-073

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (hereinafter PTAB) are Seo Ku Lee, the appellant, by attorney Michael Elliott with the law firm of Elliott and Associates in Des Plaines and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 21,800 square foot parcel of land containing a 26-year old, two-story, frame and masonry, single-family residence. This improvement contains 3,467 square feet of living area, two and one-half baths, a full, unfinished basement, air conditioning, and one fireplace. The appellant, via counsel, argued that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of this appeal.

In support of this argument, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptions of three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The data of the suggested comparables reflects that the properties are located within the same neighborhood as the subject and are improved with a two-story, frame or masonry, single-family dwelling with two and one-half or two and two-half baths. The improvements range: in age from 10 to 51 years; in size from 2,440 to 2,912 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$10.03 to \$11.85 per square foot of living area. Amenities include one or two fireplaces, a full

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$16,688
IMPR.:	\$47,748
TOTAL:	\$64,436

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/0338JBV

basement for two properties, and, for two properties, air conditioning. Also included was a brief by the appellant's attorney. Based upon this analysis, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment was \$47,748, or \$13.77 per square foot of living area. The board of review also submitted copies of the property characteristic cards for the subject property and two suggested comparables with all the properties located within the subject's neighborhood. The board's properties contain a two-story, masonry, single-family dwelling with two and one-half or three and one-half baths. The improvements are 24 or 54 years old and contain 3,619 or 3,608 square feet of living area. These properties have improvement assessments of \$13.77 and \$14.48 per square foot of living area. The properties contain a partial or full, unfinished basement, air conditioning, and one or two fireplaces. In addition, the board submitted copies of its file from the board of review's level appeal. As a result of its analysis, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After considering the evidence and reviewing the record, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

Appellants who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill. 2d 1, 544 N.E.2d 762 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. Proof of assessment inequity should include assessment data and documentation establishing the physical, locational, and jurisdictional similarities of the suggested comparables to the subject property. *Property Tax Appeal Board Rule 1910.65(b)*. Mathematical equality in the assessment process is not required. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395, 169 N.E.2d 769 (1960). Having considered the evidence presented, the PTAB concludes that the appellant has not met this burden and that a reduction is not warranted.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of five equity comparables. The PTAB finds the appellant's comparable #2 and the board of reviews comparables are the most similar to the subject. These three comparables contain a two-story, frame or masonry, single-family dwelling located within the subject's neighborhood. The improvements range: in age from 24 to 54

years; in size from 2,912 to 3,619 square feet of living area and in improvement assessments from \$10.03 to \$14.48 per square foot of living area. In comparison, the subject improvement assessment of \$13.77 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by these comparables. The PTAB accorded less weight to the remaining properties due to a disparity in size and/or age.

As a result of this analysis, the PTAB further finds that the appellant has not adequately demonstrated that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and that a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board are subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 28, 2007



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.