

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Dale M. Needham DOCKET NO.: 21-03657.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-11-204-052

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dale M. Needham, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$8,956 **IMPR.:** \$89,330 **TOTAL:** \$98,286

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 1,960 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, and a 1,716 square foot garage.<sup>1</sup> The property has a 10,018 square foot site and is located in Ingleside, Grant Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on six equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,474 to 2,128 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2001 to 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties differ regarding the subject's garage size. The Board finds the best evidence of garage size is found in the subject's property record card presented by the board of review which contains a sketch with measurements of the subject improvements.

Each home has a basement, central air conditioning, and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 624 square feet of building area. Three homes each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$59,720 to \$84,194 or from \$39.07 to \$41.52 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$98,286. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$89,330 or \$45.58 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. Comparables #3, #4, and #5 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #6, #5, and #1, respectively. Comparables #1 and #2 are improved with 1-story homes of wood siding exterior construction with 1,902 or 2,486 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 2004 and feature central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 420 or a 484 square foot garage. One home has a basement and one home has a lower level with finished area. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$91,964 and \$111,544 or of \$48.35 and \$44.87 per square foot of living area, respectively.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant argued the board of review's comparables #1 and #2 are not similar to the subject in style and/or dwelling size.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight equity comparables, with three common comparables, for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #4 and the board of review's comparables #1 and #2, due to substantial differences from the subject in design and/or dwelling size.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1, #3, #5, and #6 and the board of review's comparables #3, #4, and #5, which includes the common comparables. These comparables are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and features and have improvement assessments that range from \$76,949 to \$84,194 or \$39.07 to

\$41.52 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$89,330 or \$45.58 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record, but appears to be justified after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, such as garage size. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	hairman
a R	Aster Soffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 19, 2023
	Middle 14
	GLI 61 D T A ID I

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Dale M. Needham, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

#### **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085