

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Chowdary Jampala DOCKET NO.: 21-02293.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 10-35-201-026

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Chowdary Jampala, the appellant, by attorney Andrew J. Rukavina, of The Tax Appeal Company in Mundelein; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$31,232 **IMPR.:** \$164,179 **TOTAL:** \$195,411

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a part 1-story part 2-story dwelling<sup>1</sup> of brick exterior construction with 4,780 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1990. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and an 892 square foot garage. The property has a 40,500 square foot site and is located in Mundelein, Fremont Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within 0.59 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 40,070 to 82,590 square feet of land area and are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although both parties reported the subject is a 1-story home, the Board finds the best evidence of the subject's design is found in its property record card presented by the board of review, which contains a sketch with measurements of the subject dwelling depicting second floor living area.

improved with 1-story, 2-story, or part 1-story part 2-story homes<sup>2</sup> of brick, Dryvit, or wood siding exterior construction. The homes range in size from 4,062 to 6,218 square feet of living area and were built from 1986 to 1996. Each home has a basement, two of which have finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 816 to 1,014 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has finished attic area. The comparables sold from February 2019 to September 2020 for prices ranging from \$420,000 to \$545,000 or from \$87.65 to \$116.94 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$195,411. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$587,702 or \$122.95 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2021 three year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.25% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales located within 0.58 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 52,360 to 92,780 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,652 to 4,446 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1983 to 1997. Each home has a basement, one of which has finished area, central air conditioning, two to four fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 766 to 1,250 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from November 2020 to August 2021 for prices ranging from \$554,000 to \$722,000 or from \$141.04 to \$162.39 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of six comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 and the board of review's comparables #1 and #2, due to substantial differences from the subject in design and/or dwelling size. The Board also gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1, which sold less proximate in time to the assessment date than the other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparable #3, which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and features, although these comparables have larger sites than the subject, suggesting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The appellant reported comparable #1 is a 1-story home but also reported above ground living area that is greater than the ground floor living area, suggesting this home has second floor living area.

downward adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These two most similar comparables sold for prices of \$420,000 and \$722,000 or for \$88.55 to \$162.39 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$587,702 or \$122.95 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
·	Chairman
a R	assert Stoffen
Member	Member
DaneDekinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 19, 2023
	Michl 215
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Chowdary Jampala, by attorney: Andrew J. Rukavina The Tax Appeal Company 28643 North Sky Crest Drive Mundelein, IL 60060

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085